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Council and Main Committees

Council of the University

Changes to Regulations
Council has made the following changes to regulations, to come into effect on 14 June.

Membership of the Clubs Committee

Explanatory Note
The following change in regulations amends the membership of the Clubs Committee to include the Director of Sport as an ex officio member.

Text of Regulations
In Council Regulations 2 of 2004, concerning Other University Bodies, amend Part 2 as follows (new text underlined, deleted text struck through):

‘2.1. There shall be a Clubs Committee consisting of:

(1) the Assessor, who shall chair the committee;
(2) the Assessor-elect;
(3) the Director of Sport;
(4) a member of Congregation, being a Senior Treasurer of Amalgamated Clubs, appointed by the Conference of Colleges;
(43) a member of Congregation, being a Senior Treasurer or Senior Member of a sports club registered with the Proctors, appointed by the University Sports Strategic Subcommittee of the Education Committee;
(5) a resident student member appointed by the Executive Committee of the Oxford University Student Union;
(6), (7) two resident student members, being the secretary of a non-sports club or publication registered with the Proctors, appointed by the Clubs Committee.

2.2. The period of office for the members appointed under regulation 2.1. (4)-(4) above shall be three years, and that for the members appointed under regulation 2.1. (5)-(28) shall be one year.

2.3. Members appointed under regulation 2.1. (4)-(28) above shall serve no more than two consecutive full terms of office. Casual terms of office shall not count towards this limit.

2.4. Notwithstanding regulation 2.3 above, the General Purposes Committee of Council may determine that one further consecutive period is permitted in an individual case.

2.5. The committee may co-opt not more than one other person, who shall hold office for a period to be determined by the committee.’

Congregation

Question and Reply concerning Parks College
At the meeting of Congregation on 7 May, the question, first published in Gazette No 5236, 21 March 2019, and Council’s reply, first published in Gazette No 5237, 25 April 2019, were taken as read. Supplementary questions were asked, as set out below.

QUESTION
The Reply states that a Strategic Plan Programme Board will assume collective responsibility for implementation of the Strategic Plan 2018-23. Given that the Strategic Plan covers most areas of our activities as a collegiate University, would Council explain what “collective responsibility for implementation” means, and whether the Programme Board has been given any decision-making powers in addition to the advisory?”

Georgy Kantor, St John’s

REPLY
Each priority in the Strategic Plan has a responsible committee of Council and Senior Responsible Owner (SRO). In most cases, the SRO is a Pro-Vice-Chancellor with functional responsibilities who chairs the relevant committee. The Pro-Vice-Chancellors who, together with the Heads of Divisions, a nominee of Conference of Colleges, key officers, and a Proctor/Assessor, constitute the Strategic Plan Programme Board, chaired by the Vice-Chancellor, act jointly in seeking to achieve the goals set out in the Strategic Plan. Members of the board coordinate developments in their own areas with others, identifying and addressing overlaps and linkages, and so take collective responsibility for the Plan’s implementation. The Programme Board however has no executive powers. Proposed steps to implement the Strategic Plan are put to the established decision-making bodies, in particular the major committees of Council.

QUESTION
‘The Reply states: the space allocation provides for 157 units of graduate accommodation to Parks College in Farndon Court and the redeveloped Wellington Square. Further consideration will be given to the accommodation needs of Parks College as its student numbers increase. Given that sustainability of Parks College as projected depends on 500 students, have the costs of providing the additional accommodation been calculated so as to ensure the promised sustainability, or does this remain a work for the future?’

Julia Bray, St John’s

REPLY
The costs of the provision of graduate accommodation are not expected to adversely affect the sustainability of Parks College because the accommodation would be expected to recover its operating costs through the rental income charged to students. The capital costs of additional accommodation would be met either through philanthropy or working with a private sector delivery partner. The identification of additional accommodation for Parks College is currently at the planning stage.

QUESTION
‘The Reply states that it is not intended to publish consultative notices on every step that will be taken to implement the Strategic Plan 2018-23. On what “steps”, if any, is it intended to publish consultative notices? Would they include such preliminary consultation on “steps” requiring Congregation’s consent to legislative proposals or space allocations under Statute XVI, A, 47?”

Juliane Kerkhecker, Oriel
The meeting of Congregation is cancelled.

The sole business comprises questions to which no opposition has been notified and in respect of which no request for adjournment has been received, and the Vice-Chancellor will accordingly declare the changes to regulations at (1) and the legislative proposal at (2) carried without a meeting under the provisions of Sect 7 (1) of Statute VI.

(1) Voting on changes to Congregation Regulations 2 of 2002: the conduct of business in Congregation

(For explanatory note and text of resolution see Gazette No 5240, 16 May 2019, p444.)

(2) Voting on Legislative Proposal: Statute VIII: Libraries, Museums and Scientific Collections, and the University Press

(For explanatory note and text of resolution see Gazette No 5240, 16 May 2019, p449.)
Congregation to:

Members of Congregation are reminded that any two members may, not later than noon on 10 June, give notice in writing to the Registrar that they wish to oppose or amend the resolution or the legislative proposal below (see the note on the conduct of business in Congregation below). If no such notice has been given, and unless Council has declared otherwise or the meeting has been adjourned, the resolution and the legislative proposal shall be declared carried, and the meeting may be cancelled.

(1) Resolution: On the current and future status of language learning in our University

As a world-leading, truly cosmopolitan academic institution, this University needs to maintain and develop its provision for language learning. In view of the contradiction between the University's commitment to "in-depth study of the world's societies and cultures, supported by the outstanding breadth of our expertise in languages, ancient and modern" (Strategic Plan 2018–23, Engagement and partnership, 4) and the proposed plans underway to alter the size and scope of the Language Centre before a thorough-going process of broad consultation has been completed, we ask Congregation to:

1) Instruct Council to reinstate the cross-disciplinary Committee for the Language Centre that was disbanded in March 2017, and to suspend any plans to reform the Language Centre until a full and timely consultation process has been completed that has demonstrably included members of staff, students and the abovementioned committee;

2) Instruct Council to draw up a plan for ensuring the academic excellence that the Language Centre requires to provide cutting-edge expertise for developing the study of languages among students and staff within the university, and to continue to provide support and resources for learning languages beyond those taught in the University.

Signatories:
Guido Bonsaver, Pembroke
Patricia Thornton, Merton
Amanda Power, St Catherine's
Fiona McConnell, St Catherine's
Thomas Adams, St Catherine's
Justine Pila, St Catherine's
Heidi de Wet, St Catherine's
Jim Thomson, St Catherine's
Richard Todd, St Catherine's
Bart van Es, St Catherine's
Fram Dinshaw, St Catherine's
Penny Handford, St Catherine's
Peter Battle, St Catherine's
Cressida Chappell, St CATHERINE'S
Mark Mulholland, St CATHERINE'S
John Foord, St CATHERINE'S
Andrew Dickinson, St CATHERINE'S
Gervase Rosser, St CATHERINE'S
Kirsten Shepherd-Barr, St CATHERINE'S
Tommaso Pizzari, St CATHERINE'S
Gavin Lowe, St CATHERINE'S
Andrew Smith, St CATHERINE'S
Andrew Bunker, St CATHERINE'S
Richard Berry, St CATHERINE'S
Laura Tunbridge, St CATHERINE'S
Francesca Southderen, Somerville
Natalia Nowakowska, Somerville
Charlotte Potts, Somerville
Stephen Roberts, Somerville
Stephen Weatherill, Somerville
Faridah Zaman, Somerville
Samantha Dieckmann, Somerville
Elena Seiradake, Somerville
Renier van der Hoom, Somerville
Philip West, Somerville
Annie Sutherland, Somerville
Jan Royall, Somerville
Damien Tyler, Somerville
Andrew Parker, Somerville
Anne Manuel, Somerville
Richard Stone, Somerville
Lois McNay, Somerville
Simon Kemp, Somerville
Massimo Antonini, St Peter's
Hartmut Mayer, St Peter's
Huw Dorkins, St Peter's
Lionel Mason, St Peter's
Daron Burrows, St Peter's
Balazs Szendroi, St Peter's
Chris Foot, St Peter's
Robert Pitkethly, St Peter's
Mark Moloney, St Peter's
Abigail Williams, St Peter's
Marina MacKay, St Peter's
Claire Williams, St Peter's
Charles Monroe, St Peter's
William Bowers, Merton
Lorna Hutson, Merton
Ian Maclachlan, Merton
Sergi Pardos-Prado, Merton
Carlas Smith, Merton
Madhavi Krishnan, Merton
Elizabeth Stubbins Bates, Merton
Elias Nosrati, Merton
Mindy Chen-Wishart, Merton
Rhiannon Ash, Merton
Michael Whitworth, Merton
Alex Scott, Merton
Julie Walworth, Merton
John Eidinow, Merton
Richard McCabe, Merton
Anthony Ashmore, Merton
Matthew Thomson, Merton
Daniel Grimley, Merton
Jonathan Prag, Merton
Simon Sanders, Merton
Alex Schekochihin, Merton
Tim Farrant, Pembroke
Peter Wilson, All Souls
Peter Claus, Pembroke
Justin Jones, Pembroke
Wolfgang de Mello, Wolfson
Kerstin Hoge, St Hilda's
Mary Dalrymple, Linacre
John Coleman, Wolfson
Martin Maiden, Trinity
John Lowe, Jesus
Maria Croghan, St Hilda's
Katrin Kohl, Jesus
Marion Turner, Jesus
Roger Teichmann, St Hilda's
Lorna Smith, St Hilda's
Helen Swift, St Hilda's
Katherine Ibbett, Trinity
Giuseppe Stellardi, St Hugh's
Genevieve Adams, St Hugh's
Peter McDonald, St Hugh's
Tom Khun, St Hugh's
Carol Atack, St Hugh's
Adrian Moore, St Hugh's
Jon Parkin, St Hugh's
George Garnett, St Hugh's
Tim Rood, St Hugh's
Thomas Cousins, St Hugh's
Rafael Perera-Salazar, Primary Health Care
Duncan Robertson, St Catherine's
Richard Cooper, St Benet's Hall
Nick Hearn, Taylor Institution Library
Richard Parkinson, Queen's
Jonathan Cross, Christ Church
Ben Bollig, St Catherine's
Peter Hill, Christ Church
Almut Suerbaum, Somerville
Roman Walczak, Somerville
Julie Dickson, Somerville
Christopher Hare, Somerville
Louise Mycock, Somerville
Michael Hayward, Somerville
Steven Simon, Somerville
Fiona Stafford, Somerville
Renard Lambiotta, Somerville
Luke Pitcher, Somerville
Daniel Anthony, Somerville
Neil Kenny, All Souls
Adrian Gregory, Pembroke
Jeremy Taylor, Pembroke
Min Chen, Pembroke
Nicholas Kruger, Pembroke
Clive Sivour, Pembroke
Owen Darbishire, Pembroke
John Church, Pembroke
Lynne Brindley, Pembroke
Samuel Henry, Hertford
Sonia Antoranz Contera, Green Templeton
Georg Viehhauser, Physics
Boyd Rodger, Bodleian Libraries
Dawn Dooher, Public Affairs
Julien Devriendt, Oriel
PART A: DEFINITIONS AND CODE OF DISCIPLINE

Definitions

1. (i) In this statute unless the context otherwise requires the following words and expressions shall have the following meanings:

(a) for the purposes of this statute and of any regulations made under this statute, the phrase ‘member of the University’ or ‘student member’ means:

(i) ‘member of the University’ or ‘student member’ means any individual person so defined under the provisions of Statute II;

(ii) “student member” means, in addition, any other individual who, though not a member or student member under the provisions of Statute II, is a candidate for any university examination; or

(iii) “student member” means, in addition, any other individual who, though not a student member under the provisions of Statute II, is an individual person;

(iv) “member of the University” or “student member” means, in addition, any individual person who was defined as such under (i), (ii) or (iii) either at the beginning of disciplinary proceedings and against whom disciplinary proceedings are ongoing or at the time when the alleged breach of the provisions of section (2) or (3) of this statute occurred.

(b) “ban” means withdraw the right of access to specified land, buildings, facilities or services of the University for a fixed period or pending the fulfilment of certain conditions;

(c) “college” means any college, society, or Permanent Private Hall recognised by or established under Statute V;

(d) “expel” means deprive a member permanently of his or her membership of the University;
(e) “harassment” means unwanted and unwarranted conduct towards another individual person which has the purpose or effect of:

(i) violating that other’s dignity; or

(ii) creating an intimidating, hostile, degrading, humiliating or offensive environment for that other;

(f) “in a university context” means any of the following:

(i) on university or college premises;

(ii) in the course of university activity within or outside Oxford whether academic, sporting, social, cultural, or other;

(g) “rusticate” “suspend” means withdraw the right of access to all of the land, buildings and facilities of the University including teaching, examinations and all related academic services for a fixed or indeterminate period or until the fulfilment of specified conditions either as a penalty imposed following a disciplinary investigation or where action is taken as an interim measure pending further investigation, or where access is otherwise withdrawn under the Statutes or Regulations for non-disciplinary reasons;

(h) “sexual misconduct” means any behaviour of a sexual nature which takes place without consent where the individual alleged to have carried out the misconduct has no reasonable belief in consent. “suspend” means withdraw the right of access referred to in sub-section (g) above for a fixed or indeterminate period or until the fulfilment of specified conditions where action is taken as an interim measure pending further investigation, or where action is taken under the statutes or regulations for non-disciplinary reasons.

(i) “examination” includes the submission and assessment of a thesis, dissertation, essay, practical work or other coursework and any other exercise, including in the case of graduate student members transfer and confirmation of status exercises, which is not undertaken in formal examination conditions that counts towards or constitutes the work for a degree or other academic award.

(2) Unless the Student Disciplinary Panel or the Student Appeal Panel otherwise orders, a student member who is barred, rusticated or suspended under this statute shall not for so long as the ban, rustication or suspension is in force be entitled to enter or participate in any university examination for the award of any degree, diploma, certificate or prize or other award of the University or any qualifying examination for entry into such examination.

Code of Discipline

2. (l) No member of the University shall in a university context intentionally or recklessly:

(a) disrupt or attempt to disrupt teaching or study or research or the administrative, sporting, social, cultural, or other activities of the University;

(b) disrupt or attempt to disrupt the lawful exercise of freedom of speech by members, student members, and employees of the University or by visiting speakers;

(c) obstruct or attempt to obstruct any officer, employee, or agent of the University in the performance of his or her duties;

(d) deface, damage, or destroy or attempt to deface, damage or destroy any property of or in the custody of the University or of any college or of any member, officer, employee, or agent of the University or of any college, any other individual or knowingly misappropriate such property;

(e) occupy or use or attempt to occupy or use any property or facilities of the University or of any college except as may be expressly or impliedly authorised by the university or college authorities concerned;

(f) forge or falsify any university certificate or similar document or knowingly make false statements concerning standing or results obtained in examinations;

(g) engage in action which is likely to cause injury or to impair safety;

(h) engage in violent, indecent, disorderly, threatening, or offensive behaviour or language;

(i) engage in any dishonest behaviour in relation to the University or the holding of any university office or any application for any university membership, office or position or any student place at the university (in which case such dishonesty shall be understood to be continuing throughout the period when he or she holds that membership, office, position or student place);

(j) disobey a reasonable instruction given within their authority by one of the Proctors or their deputies;

(k) refuse to disclose his or her name and other relevant details to an officer or an employee or agent of the University or of any college in circumstances where it is reasonable to require that that information be given;

(l) possess, use, offer, sell, or give to any individual person drugs, the possession or use of which is illegal;

(m) engage in the harassment of, or sexual misconduct towards, any member, visitor, employee, or agent of the University or of any college;

(n) fail to comply with an order made under sections 10, 11, 16, 19 or 23 of this statute.

(2) No member of the University shall intentionally or recklessly breach any regulation

(a) relating to the use of the libraries or the information and communications technology facilities of the University;

(b) relating to conduct in examinations which is designated by Council as a disciplinary regulation for the purposes of this statute;

(c) made under any section in this statute.

(3) Every member of the University shall, to the extent that such provisions may be applicable to that member, comply with the provisions of the codes of practice issued from time to time by Council pursuant to the duty imposed by section 43 of the Education (No 2) Act 1986 and duly published in the University Gazette.

(4) No member of the University shall intentionally or recklessly:

(a) create or provide directly or indirectly for a candidate or candidates in any examination of this University or elsewhere material that constitutes a model or draft intended to meet substantially or wholly the requirements of any exercise in that examination, and which, in part or in
whole, could be submitted without attribution by an examination candidate in order to meet or to attempt to meet the requirements of the examination;

(b) enter into any agreement to act in breach of section 2. (4) (a) of this Code;

(c) assist or encourage directly or indirectly any individual person or individuals to act in breach of sections 2. (4) (a), and 2. (4) (b) of this Code.

3. No member of the University shall incite or conspire with any other individual person to engage in any of the conduct prohibited under this Part.

Regulations

4. (1) A person or body having charge of any land or building of the University, or of any facilities or services provided by or on behalf of the University, may, subject to the statutes and regulations, make regulations governing the use of that land or building or of those facilities or services.

(2) If regulations proposed to be made under this section are submitted to the Proctors and the Proctors are satisfied that they

(a) relate to minor matters, governing the detailed management of the land, building, facilities, or services concerned, and

(b) are to be published in such a way as reasonably to bring them to the notice of the users of the land, building, facilities, or services concerned,

the regulations in question shall have immediate effect on publication, and shall bind all users of the land, building, facilities, or services to which they refer to the extent provided in them.

5. (1) Council shall establish and maintain a Rules Committee for the purpose of making regulations not inconsistent with the statutes governing the conduct of student members except in relation to the regulations relating to the academic dress of student members or conduct in examinations.

(2) The Rules Committee shall keep all regulations made by it under review and may amend or repeal those regulations as it thinks fit.

(3) The constitution and further powers and duties of the Rules Committee shall be set out by Council by regulation.

6. (1) The Proctors may, if they consider the matter urgent, make regulations relating to the conduct of student members which are not inconsistent with the statutes and regulations.

(2) Any regulations made by the Proctors under this section shall be published forthwith in the University Gazette and shall have immediate effect on publication.

(3) Any exercise of this power shall be reported at once to the Rules Committee, and the regulations shall lapse unless the Rules Committee confirms them by a regulation, in the same or substantially the same terms, made and published in the University Gazette within three weeks of Full Term from the day the regulations were made by the Proctors.

(4) If the regulations are not confirmed, they shall none the less have effect from the time at which they were published until the time the Rules Committee decides not to confirm them, or until they lapse, whichever is the earlier.

PART B: DISCIPLINARY PANELS AND APPEAL COURT OF THE UNIVERSITY AND THE PROCTORS

Disciplinary Panels and Appeal Court of the University

7. There shall be three Panels and one Court as follows:

(1) The Student Disciplinary Panel;

(2) the Student Appeal Panel;

(3) the Academic Conduct Appeal Panel;

(4) the Appeal Court.

8. (1) The Student Disciplinary Panel shall comprise a chair, two or more vice-chairs, and eleven or more other members, who shall each serve for three years and may be reappointed.

(2) The chair and vice-chairs shall be appointed by the High Steward from among the members of Congregation who are barristers or solicitors of at least five years' standing or who have experience which makes them suitable for appointment.

(3) The other members shall be appointed by Council from among the members of Congregation.

(4) A sitting of the Panel shall be sufficiently constituted by a panel comprising three members of whom at least one shall be the chair or a vice-chair, and shall be convened in accordance with the regulations made under section 25(4) of this statute.

9. (1) The function of the Student Disciplinary Panel shall be to hear and determine, in accordance with procedure set out in regulations made under section 25(4) of this statute, allegations made to it by the Proctors that a student member has committed a breach of the provisions of section 2 or 3 of this statute, and appeals against decisions under sections 29(2), 33, and 42(2), 44(2), 45(2), 49(3) and 50 of this statute, and cases referred to it by the Academic Conduct Panel under section 20 of this statute.

(2) No complaint made by the Proctors shall be heard by the Student Disciplinary Panel more than six months after the date of the first interview unless the Chair or Vice-Chair sitting on that occasion decides at his or her discretion to allow the complaint to be heard on the grounds that there is good cause for the delay.

10. (1) If the Student Disciplinary Panel is satisfied that the student member has committed a breach of sections 2 or 3 of this statute it may:

(a) issue the student member with a written warning;

(b) require the student member to attend a programme of education;

(c) require the student member to enter a temporary or permanent restriction on contact with a named individual or individuals;

(ga) impose a fine of such amount as it thinks fit;

(g) suspend the student member's access to or exclude the student member from University accommodation or require the student member to move to other University accommodation (subject to the terms of the student member's lease);

(f) order the student member to pay compensation to any individual person or body suffering injury, damage, or loss as a result of the student member's conduct;

(q) issue directions in relation to the future provision of references for the student member;
(he) make an order banning the student member from specified University premises or facilities for such period or on such terms as it thinks fit;
(i) subject to endorsement by the relevant college, make an order banning the student member from specified college premises or facilities for such period or on such terms as it thinks fit;
(j) suspend the student member for such period as it thinks fit;
(ke) expel the student member;
(l) recommend to Council that the student member be deprived of the degree to which the disciplinary proceedings relate.

(2) The Student Disciplinary Panel may impose any of the penalties referred to in sub-section (1) above separately or in any combination.

(3) If the Student Disciplinary Panel is satisfied that a student member has intentionally or recklessly committed a breach of the disciplinary regulations relating to conduct in examinations it may order the examiners to:
(a) if practicable, exclude from assessment any part of the work submitted that the examiners are satisfied is not the student member's own work; and
(b) in addition or alternatively to imposing one or more of the penalties referred to in sub-section (1) above, impose one or more of the following penalties specifying the mark or class of degree, as applicable:
(i) reduce a mark awarded to any piece of work;
(ii) award no mark to or disregard any piece of work;
(iii) substitute an alternative mark for any piece of work;
(iv) reduce by one or more classes any degree classification;
(v) permit and direct a student member to re-sit an examination or resubmit a piece of work on such conditions as it thinks fit;
(vi) award a pass degree instead of an honours degree;
(vii) fail the student member in the examination or part of the examination concerned.

(4) If the Panel is satisfied that the student member has committed a breach of the provisions of section 2 or 3 of this statute, it may instead of exercising its powers under sub-sections (1), (2), and (3) above give the student member a written warning as to his or her future conduct and the Proctors shall keep a record of the warning.

11. (1) The Student Disciplinary Panel shall also have the function of determining, in accordance with the procedures set out in the regulations made under section 25 of this statute, appeals made by student members under sections 29(2), 33, 42, 44(2), 44(2), 45(2), 49(3), and 47(5).
(2) The conduct of appeals shall be by way of rehearing.
(3) In the exercise of its appellate powers the Panel may quash or confirm the decision appealed against, or make any order in substitution for it which the person or body whose order is being appealed could have made.

12. In all cases the Student Disciplinary Panel shall have power to determine any question concerning the interpretation and application of the University's statutes or regulations, and to hear evidence.

13. If the student member who is the subject of the disciplinary action is aggrieved by a decision of the Student Disciplinary Panel acting as the primary decision-making body (rather than in the exercise of its appellate powers) he or she may apply for permission to appeal to the Student Appeal Panel.

14. (1) The Student Appeal Panel shall consist of three individuals appointed by the High Steward, who shall be external individuals who hold a legal qualification and have experience which makes them suitable for appointment and shall not be members of Congregation.
(2) Members of the Student Appeal Panel shall serve for three years and may be reappointed.

15. (1) The Student Appeal Panel may, if it thinks it is in the interest of justice and fairness to do so, sit with no more than two assessors who are members of Congregation appointed by the High Steward who have knowledge and experience of the practice and procedures of this University relevant to the issues raised in the appeal.
(2) The assessor or assessors shall assist and advise the Panel on matters of practice and procedure relevant to the appeal and shall not be party to the Panel's decision.

16. (1) The function of the Student Appeal Panel shall be to hear and determine, in accordance with procedure set out in regulations made under section 26 of this statute:
(a) appeals from decisions of the Student Disciplinary Panel acting as the primary decision-making body (rather than in the exercise of its appellate powers);
(b) other appeals which are designated to be made to the Student Appeal Panel in regulations made by Council.
(2) (a) The Student Appeal Panel shall have full power to determine any question of law and of fact, and, in exceptional circumstances only, to hear evidence.
(b) The Panel may quash or confirm the decision appealed against, or make any order in substitution for it which the tribunal whose order is being appealed could have made.

17. (1) The Academic Conduct Appeal Panel shall comprise the current Proctors, who shall each serve for the length of their term of office as Proctor, and five or more other members, who shall each serve for three years, and may be reappointed.
(2) The members, with the exception of the current Proctors, shall be appointed by Council from among the members of Congregation. The appointed members shall have relevant experience, for example through being a former Proctor or former member of the Student Disciplinary Panel.
(3) A sitting of the Academic Conduct Appeal Panel, which may take place at a meeting or by correspondence, shall be sufficiently constituted by a panel comprising one three members serving ex officio appointed under section 17 (1) and (2) or co-opted under section 17 (4), as appropriate, of whom one shall be a current Proctor and at least one shall be one of the appointed members, and shall be convened in accordance with the regulations made under section 25.
of this statute. If necessary, a current Proctor may be represented by a current Pro-Proctor.

4. At each sitting of the Academic Conduct Panel, one appointed member shall have subject expertise relevant to the course being studied by the student alleged to be in breach of the Disciplinary Code, but shall not be a member of the Examination Board concerned. If none of the appointed members of the Academic Conduct Panel meets these criteria, the Academic Conduct Panel may co-opt one additional member of Congregation to serve as a member of the Academic Conduct Panel for the purposes of the sitting.

18. (1) The function of the Academic Conduct Appeal Panel shall be to consider appeals referred to it by the Proctors against a Proctor’s decision involving alleged breaches of the provisions of section 2 (b) of this statute.

(2) The Academic Conduct Appeal Panel shall only consider appeals against a Proctor’s decision in relation to sections 3, 4 or 5 of the Proctors’ Disciplinary Regulations for Candidates in Examinations.

19. If the Academic Conduct Appeal Panel is satisfied that a student member has committed a breach of sections 3, 4 or 5 of the Proctors’ Disciplinary Regulations for Candidates in Examinations it may:

(a) direct that the student member receives support and training relating to good academic practice;

(b) direct the examiners to reduce the mark for a piece of work;

(c) award no mark to a piece of work and direct that the work must be resubmitted, but that the mark for the resubmitted work should not be capped;

(d) award no mark to a piece of work and direct that the work must be resubmitted and that the mark for the resubmitted work be capped;

(e) in the cases of (c) and (d), the Panel may direct the examiners to accept resubmitted work in place of the original submitted work, if the regulations for the relevant course do not normally allow for work to be resubmitted.

20. If the Panel is of the view that a breach of such seriousness has been committed that the penalties set out in section 19 of this statute are not appropriate, it shall refer the student member to the Student Disciplinary Panel, which, if it is satisfied that the student member has committed a breach of sections 2 or 3 of this statute, shall have the power to impose any of the penalties set out in section 10 of this statute.

20A. The Panel shall not impose any penalty which would result in failure of the entire award. If the Panel is of the view that the penalty within its powers that it has determined is appropriate to apply would have such a result, it is appropriate, it shall refer the student member to the Student Disciplinary Panel under section 20 above.

21. If the Academic Conduct Appeal Panel is satisfied that a student member has not committed a breach of sections 3, 4 or 5 of the Proctors’ Disciplinary Regulations for Candidates in Examinations, but believes that they would benefit from support and training relating to good academic practice, it may direct that they should receive this.

22A. (1) The Appeal Court shall consist of five individuals appointed by the High Steward who are not members of the University and each of whom is:

(a) a Lord or Lady of Appeal, a Lord or Lady Justice of Appeal, or a Justice of the High Court of Justice; or

(b) an individual person who has held such an appointment and is retired; or

(c) a Queen’s Counsel of not less than six years’ standing.

(2) The individual person appointed shall serve for three years and may be reappointed.

(3) A sitting of the Appeal Court shall be sufficiently constituted by one of its members sitting alone.

22B. (1) The function of the Appeal Court shall be to hear and determine, in accordance with procedure set out in regulations made under section 25 of this statute:

(a) appeals against a decision of the Visitorial Board under section 34 of statute XII to recommend dismissal;

(b) any appeal against a decision reached by a tribunal under Part G of Statute XII;

(c) appeals from the Vice-Chancellor against a decision on a dispute over the interpretation or application of a statute or regulation made under section 5 of Statute XVII;

(d) other appeals which are designated to be made to the Appeal Court in regulations made by Council.

(2) In relation to appeals made under Part H of Statute XII the Appeal Court shall have the powers laid down in that Part.

(3) (a) In relation to all other appeals the Appeal Court shall have full power to determine any question of law and of fact, and, in exceptional circumstances only, to hear evidence.

(b) The Court may quash or confirm the decision appealed against, or make any order in substitution for it which the tribunal whose order is being appealed could have made.

24A. (1) The Appeal Court may, if it thinks it is in the interests of justice and fairness to do so, sit with no more than two assessors who are members of Congregation appointed by the High Steward and who have knowledge and experience of the practice and procedures of the University relevant to the issues raised in the appeal.

(2) The assessor or assessors shall assist and advise the Court on matters of practice and procedure relevant to the appeal but shall not be party to the Court’s decision.

25A. (1) Further rules relating to the constitution, powers, duties, and procedures of the Student Disciplinary Panel, the Student Appeal Panel, the Academic Conduct Appeal Panel and the Appeal Court, and the powers, duties, and procedures of the Proctors in relation to matters covered by this statute, shall be set out by Council by regulation.

(2) Any rules made under sub-section (1) above shall comply with the principles of natural justice.

The Proctors

26A. The Proctors shall have the following duties under this statute in addition to those referred to in sections 4 and 6:

(1) to take such steps as they consider necessary to enforce sections 2 and 3 of this statute and to prevent any breach of them;

(2) to consider investigating any complaint that a member of the University to whom section 2 or 3 of this statute applies has committed a breach of that section;

(3) where they consider it appropriate to investigate, to seek to identify the individual person responsible for any such breach.
27. The Proctors should only investigate where they consider that it is appropriate in all the circumstances to do so, and in particular may decline to investigate complaints:

(a) which are frivolous and/or vexatious;

(b) where the conduct complained about happened more than 6 months ago; and/or

(c) where another body, such as a college, is better placed to investigate.

28. (1) In carrying out their duties the Proctors shall have the power to summon any member of the University to assist them in their inquiries.

(2) A failure to give such assistance without reasonable cause shall constitute a breach of section 2 (1) (c) of this statute.

PART C: BREACHES BY STUDENT MEMBERS

29. (1) If the Proctors have reasonable grounds for believing that there is a case to answer that a student member has committed a breach of section 2 or 3 of this statute they may, if they consider it appropriate to proceed, refer the matter to the Student Disciplinary Panel, or, in the case of breaches of sections 2, 4 or 5 of the Proctors’ Disciplinary Regulations for Candidates in Examinations, to the Academic Conduct Panel.

(2) If a Proctor or any member of the University staff who is authorised by the Proctors for the purposes of this statute has reasonable grounds for believing that a student member has committed a breach of the Regulations of the Rules Committee relating to behaviour after examinations he or she may impose a fine on that student member (called “an immediate fine”) on becoming aware of the breach in question.

(3) The procedure to be followed in the imposition of immediate fines, the amount of the fine, and a student member’s right of appeal from an immediate fine shall be prescribed in regulations.

30. (1) In any case proceeding before the Student Disciplinary Panel or the Student Appeal Panel, the case for the Proctors may be presented by either of the Proctors or, at their discretion, by any Pro-Proctor or any other member of Congregation.

(2) In appropriate circumstances, following suitable consultations, the case may be presented by a solicitor or barrister who is not a member of Congregation.

(3) In any such proceedings it shall be the duty of the Proctors or their representative to state to the Panel what penalty in the Proctors’ opinion would be appropriate if the student member concerned were found to have committed the breach in question, and to give the Proctors’ reasons for that opinion.

31. (1) The Proctors may during the course of an investigation under section 26 of this statute into an alleged breach other than one which is so serious that there is a reasonable possibility that if the student member concerned were found to have committed the breach in question the appropriate penalty could be expulsion involving harassment of or serious injury to a person, serious damage to property, or a significant element of dishonesty invite the student member in question to consider whether he or she is prepared to submit this matter for determination by the Proctors.

(2) If the student member agrees to this procedure for considering the matter it shall be dealt with by the Proctors accordingly and not referred to the Student Disciplinary Panel.

(3) The only penalties which the Proctors may impose upon a student member under this section are:

(a) a fine alone or with compensation not exceeding the sum as prescribed by regulation from time to time under section 32 of this statute; or

(b) a written warning as to his or her future conduct, of which the Proctors shall keep a record;

(c) requiring the student member to attend a programme of education;

(d) requiring the student member to enter a temporary or permanent restriction on contact with a named individual or individuals;

(e) an order banning the student member from specified University premises or facilities for such period or on such terms as the Proctors think fit; or

(f) subject to endorsement by the relevant college, an order banning the student member from specified college premises or facilities for such period or on such terms as the Proctors think fit.

(4) Further rules relating to the procedures of the Proctors under this section shall be set out in regulations made under section 25 of this statute.

32. Council may from time to time by regulation specify the maximum amounts of the fines and/or compensation referred to in section 31 (3) (a) of this statute.

33. If the student member who is the subject of the disciplinary action is aggrieved by a decision of the Proctors he or she may appeal to the Student Disciplinary Panel.

34. If the Proctors consider in the light of additional evidence that their powers under section 31 of this statute are insufficient to meet the gravity of the circumstances, they may refer the case to the Student Disciplinary Panel under the regulations for that body.

25. If the Proctors consider that a breach of sections 3, 4 or 5 of the Proctors’ Disciplinary Regulations for Candidates in Examinations should be referred to the Academic Conduct Panel, they shall invite the student member to decide whether the matter should be referred to the Academic Conduct Panel or to the Student Disciplinary Panel. If the student member agrees that the matter should be referred to the Academic Conduct Panel, the only penalties which that Panel may impose are those set out in section 19 of this statute. The Proctors should refer breaches of sections 3, 4 or 5 of the Proctors’ Disciplinary Regulations for Candidates in Examinations directly to the Student Disciplinary Panel if they consider that the powers of the Academic Conduct Panel under section 19 are insufficient to meet the gravity of the circumstances.

35. (1) The Proctors are responsible for investigating breaches of the provisions of section 2 (2) (b) of this statute relating to sections 3, 4 or 5 of the Proctors’ Disciplinary Regulations for Candidates in Examinations.

(2) If the Proctors are satisfied that a student member has committed a breach of sections 3, 4 or 5 of the Proctors’ Disciplinary Regulations for Candidates in Examinations they may:

(a) direct that the student member receives support and training relating to good academic practice;

(b) direct the examiners to reduce the mark for a piece of work;

(c) award no mark to a piece of work and direct that the work must be...
resubmitted, but that the mark for the resubmitted work should not be capped.

(d) award no mark to a piece of work and direct that the work must be resubmitted and that the mark for the resubmitted work be capped;

(e) in the cases of (c) and (d), the Proctors may direct the examiners to accept resubmitted work in place of the original submitted work, if the regulations for the relevant course do not normally allow for work to be resubmitted.

(3) The Proctors should refer the student member for breaches of sections 3, 4 or 5 of the Students’ Disciplinary Regulations for Candidates in Examinations to the Student Disciplinary Panel if they are of the view that a breach of such seriousness has been committed that the penalties set out in section 35 (2) of this statute are not appropriate.

(4) The Proctors shall not impose any penalty which would result in failure of the entire award. If the Proctors are of the view that such a penalty is appropriate, it shall refer the student member to the Student Disciplinary Panel under section 35 (3) above.

36. If the student member who is subject to disciplinary action under section 35 is aggrieved by a decision of the Proctors, he or she may appeal to the Academic Conduct Appeal Panel.

3746. (1) If the Student Disciplinary Panel, the Student Appeal Panel, or the Proctors in the course of proceedings or an investigation under this statute has or have reasonable grounds for believing that a student member is suffering from a serious problem arising from ill-health the Panel concerned or the Proctors shall refer the student to the University’s Fitness to Study Panel in accordance with the procedures set out in Part B of Statute XIII.

(2) Until a determination of the case under Part B of Statute XIII all further proceedings under this Part of this statute shall be adjourned.

3847. (1) If the Proctors have reasonable grounds to believe that a breach of section 2 or 3 of this statute has been committed by a student member who is also an individual person to whom Statute XII applies, they shall before deciding to proceed under section 29 of this statute refer the matter to the Registrar to enable him or her to decide whether to proceed under that statute.

(2) If the Registrar decides to proceed under Statute XII no further proceedings shall be taken against the student member under section 29 of this statute but the Proctors shall continue to give the Registrar such assistance as he or she may require.

(3) If the Registrar decides not to proceed under Statute XII and the matter also relates to alleged breaches of sections 2 or 3, he or she shall refer the matter to the Proctors if he or she considers it appropriate to do so.

PART D: BREACHES BY OTHER MEMBERS

3939. If the Proctors have reasonable grounds for believing that a member of the University who is not a student member has committed a breach of section 2 or 3 has been committed by a member of the University who is not a student member, or they shall, if they consider it appropriate to proceed, refer the matter to the Registrar.

4039. If a matter is referred to the Registrar under section 39 of this statute against a member who is also an individual person to whom Statute XII applies, the Registrar shall deal with the matter under the provisions of Statute XII.

4140. If a matter is referred to the Registrar under section 39 of this statute against a member who is not an individual person to whom Statute XII applies, the Registrar shall refer the matter to Council, the member’s college, or such other body or committee within or outside the University as the Registrar considers appropriate.

PART E: OTHER PROVISIONS

4241. (1) A period of penalty of suspension or reduction imposed by a college upon one of its members shall apply also to university premises and facilities subject to the right of appeal referred to in sub-section (2) below.

(2) A member of a college suspended or penalised under sub-section (1) above may appeal to the Student Disciplinary Panel against the application of that penalty to that member’s use of university premises and facilities and, if the Student Disciplinary Panel is satisfied that there are special circumstances, it may permit the member concerned to continue to have access to university premises and facilities with or without conditions as to such access.

4342. If a student member of the University is alleged to have committed a breach of section 2 or 3 for which he or she will be or is likely to be prosecuted in a court of law, the Proctors shall not proceed, if at all, unless they are satisfied either that any criminal proceedings in respect of that breach have been completed, whether by conviction or acquittal or discontinuance of the proceedings, or that the member is unlikely to be prosecuted in a court of law in respect of that alleged breach, or that the police have agreed to the Proctors proceeding in parallel to the criminal process.

4444. (1) Where there are reasonable grounds for so doing, the Proctors shall suspend the student member or impose any other temporary precautionary measure on the student member pending the outcome of criminal proceedings or a hearing before the Student Disciplinary Panel or Student Appeal Panel. Where such measure relates to college premises, this is subject to endorsement by the relevant college.

(2) Where an order is made under sub-section (1) above, the student shall have the right of appeal to the Student Disciplinary Panel. The right of appeal provided for in subsection 33 of this statute shall apply to orders made under this section.

45. (1) Where there are reasonable grounds for so doing and where no criminal or disciplinary proceedings are ongoing, the Proctors may require two or more student members who are in dispute to enter a temporary or permanent restriction on contact with each other, provided that the order should have the minimum impact reasonably possible on each student involved and should be on the basis of no admission of fault by any of the students. Where such restriction relates to college premises, this is subject to endorsement by the relevant college.

(2) Where an order is made under sub-section (1) above, the student shall have the right of appeal to the Student Disciplinary Panel.
(1) If a student member has been convicted of a criminal offence of such seriousness that an immediate term of imprisonment might have been imposed, or if another member has been convicted of such an offence that occurred while that member was a student member, and whether or not such a sentence was in fact imposed on the student member, the Proctors may refer the matter to the Student Disciplinary Panel which may, if it thinks fit and in accordance with regulations made under section 25 of this statute, expel the student member from his or her membership of the University or impose such lesser penalty or other conditions as it thinks fit.

(2) The right of appeal referred to in section 13 of this statute shall apply to orders made under sub-section (1) above.

(3) If any other member of the University is convicted of such a criminal offence the matter may be referred by the Registrar to Council which shall consider whether proceedings should be taken for the expulsion of the member by Congregation under the provisions of section 11 of Statute II.

(4) (1) If during a hearing before either of the Panels of the University the conduct of any member is disorderly or otherwise in breach of section 2 or section 6 of this statute in respect of the Panel the following provisions of this section shall apply.

(2) In the case of a student member the Panel shall have power in accordance with regulations made under section 25 of this statute to fine, or suspend, or rusticate him or her on such terms as it thinks fit.

(3) In the case of any other member of the University the Panel shall refer the complaint to the Registrar who may proceed in accordance with section 39 or section 40 of this statute.

(1) Where a non-financial penalty is imposed on a student member under the provisions of this statute, it shall come into effect on the date when the person or body imposing the penalty issues a written decision to the student member, unless that person or body specifies otherwise, whether or not an application for permission to appeal or an appeal is pending, unless the person or body which imposed the penalty or the Panel to which any application for permission to appeal or appeal is made, makes an order, in accordance with procedure set out in regulations made under section 25 of this statute, suspending or postponing that penalty.

(2) If the non-financial penalty is an order requiring the student member to do something or refrain from doing something, including a penalty under sections 10 (1) (b) (e) (h) (i) or 31 (3) (d) (e) (f), and the student member does not comply with that order, the Student Disciplinary Panel may suspend the student member for such period as it thinks fit or impose any other penalty available to it under section 31 (3) which it considers appropriate in accordance with procedure set out in regulations made under section 25 of this statute.

(3) Where a fine is imposed upon a student member, or an order is made requiring a student member to pay compensation, under the provisions of this statute, the fine or compensation shall be paid within seven days (or two days, in the case of immediate fines imposed under section 29) whether or not an application for permission to appeal or an appeal is pending, unless the person or body which imposed the fine or compensation, or the Panel to which any application for permission to appeal or appeal is made, makes an order, in accordance with procedure set out in regulations made under section 25 of this statute, suspending or deferring payment.

(4) If the fine or compensation is not paid in time the Panel which imposed it (or, in the case of a fine, escalated fine or compensation imposed by the Proctors, the Student Disciplinary Panel) may suspend, or rusticate the student member for such period as it thinks fit, in accordance with procedure set out in regulations made under section 25 of this statute.

(5) Any fine or compensation imposed by any Panel or by the Proctors shall be paid through the Clerk to the Proctors.

(6) (1) If a person or body having charge of any land or building of the University, or of any facilities or services provided by or on behalf of the University has reasonable grounds to believe that a member of University staff, a member of Congregation or a student member who has the use of or access to the land, building, facilities or services in question has caused or is likely or threatens to cause damage to property or inconvenience to other users, that person or body may immediately make a complaint under the provisions of this section.

(2) Where the conduct of the individual concerned gives rise to a need for immediate action, the person or body referred to in sub-section (1) above may ban the member of University staff, member of Congregation or student member concerned from the use of or access to the land, building, facilities or services in question forthwith pending further proceedings under this section, such a ban not to exceed twenty-one days.

(3) A complaint against a student member shall be made to the Proctors or to their nominee.

(a) Where appropriate, the Proctors shall consider the complaint as a complaint of a breach of the Code of Discipline as set out in sections 2–3 of this statute in accordance with the provisions of this statute, and any regulations made under it, and may impose a suspension or ban in accordance with section 44 of this statute.

(b) If the complaint is not of a breach of the Code of Discipline, the Proctors may nevertheless investigate the complaint and may ban the student from the use of or access to the land, building, facilities or services in question for up to 42 days if it is just and reasonable to do so.

(c) Where an order is made under subsection (3) (b) above, the student shall have the right of appeal to the Student Disciplinary Panel.

(4) A complaint against a member of University staff or a member of Congregation shall be made to the Registrar who shall consider the complaint expeditiously:

(a) in the case of a member of University staff subject to Statute XII the Registrar may, if he or she thinks fit, refer the matter for further consideration under the provisions of that statute.

(b) in the case of other members of University staff or other members of Congregation the Registrar shall refer the matter to the Vice-Chancellor for determination;
(c) the Vice-Chancellor shall consider any matter referred to him or her by the Registrar under sub-section 46(4) (b) and may appoint another suitably qualified person or persons to act in his or her place; and
(d) the procedure to be adopted under sub-section 46(4) (b) shall be determined by the person or persons considering the matter, giving due regard to the principles of natural justice.

(5) If the person referred to in sub-section (1) above is the Registrar, the functions assigned to the Registrar under sub-section (4) shall be performed by the Vice-Chancellor.

5149. (1) If a member of University staff believes that an individual who is not a member of University staff or a member of Congregation or a student member who has the use of or access to any land or building of the University, or of any facilities or services provided by or on behalf of the University has caused or is likely or threatens to cause damage to property or inconvenience or distress to other users, that member of University staff may make a complaint under the provisions of this section.

(2) Where the decision-maker (as defined in sub-section (4) below) considers that the conduct of the individual concerned means that there is a significant and imminent risk of damage to property or distress or inconvenience to other users he or she may ban the individual concerned from the use of or access to the land, building, facilities or services for up to 42 days pending proceedings under this section.

(3) The use of or access to University land, buildings, facilities or services by an individual who is not a member of University staff, or a member of Congregation or a student member is granted as a privilege.

(4) The complaint shall be made to a decision maker who shall be:
(a) the relevant Head of Department, or equivalent; or
(b) the Registrar where (a) does not apply.

(5) The decision-maker shall consider the complaint expeditiously and may appoint another suitably qualified person to act in his or her place.

(6) The decision-maker shall determine the procedure to be adopted and shall have the power to impose sanctions including, but not limited to, a temporary or permanent ban from the use of or access to the land, building, facilities or services in question.

(7) If the member of University staff making the complaint is the decision-maker, the functions assigned to the decision-maker under sub-sections (2), (4), (5) and (6) shall be performed by the Vice-Chancellor.

Proctors’ Annual Report

5250. The Proctors shall at the end of Hilary Term in each year make a report to Congregation giving the number and kinds of offences dealt with during the year by them and the Panels, and giving the number and kinds of penalty imposed.

PART F: TRANSITIONAL PROVISIONS

5354. For the avoidance of doubt it is declared that:

(i) the Proctors shall have the same powers to investigate and prosecute breaches by student members of the Statutes and Regulations of the University in force before 1 October 2006, and

(ii) the Student Disciplinary Panel, and the Student Appeal Panel shall have the same jurisdiction to hear and determine charges and appeals arising out of those breaches, as they possess in respect of breaches of this statute, and the provisions of this statute shall apply, with any necessary modification, to the exercise of those powers and that jurisdiction;’

Regulations to be made by Council if the Statute is approved.

1 Amend Council Regulations 2 of 2006, concerning Disciplinary Investigations by the Proctors under Statute XI as follows (new text underlined, deleted text struck through):

‘1. In these regulations, unless otherwise stated:

(1) references to sections are to sections of Statute XI;

(2) references to colleges shall include colleges, societies, Permanent Private Halls, and other institutions designated by Council by regulation as being permitted to present candidates for matriculation;

(3) references to breaches or alleged breaches are to breaches or alleged breaches of the Disciplinary Code under sections 2 and 3;

(4) “student” or “students” means the student member or members of the University (as defined in section 1 (a)) alleged to be in breach of the Disciplinary Code;

(5) where notice has to be given a specified number of “clear days” before an interview or hearing, the day of receipt of the notice and the day of the interview or hearing shall be excluded from the calculation;

(6) any notice sent by the Proctors shall be deemed to arrive on the day after dispatch, unless the contrary is proved.

General Provisions

2. Regulations 1-13 inclusive shall apply to the Proctors in the exercise of their powers and duties under sections 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 43-43, 47, 45 and 50-48.

3. Any investigation undertaken under these regulations shall be carried out:

(1) with all reasonable expedition; and

(2) in a manner which is just, fair, and reasonable in the light of all the circumstances.

4. The Proctors may make enquiries through their staff or any other appropriate person as to the circumstances of the matter under investigation and in addition may delegate their powers and duties under Statute XI to a suitably trained member of Congregation to act on their behalf in cases where there are allegations of sexual misconduct.

5. If at any time the Proctors, in consultation with the University Marshal, are of the opinion that evidence available appears to disclose the commission of a serious criminal offence, they shall consider whether it is appropriate to seek the views of the appropriate police force before proceeding further internally.

6. Once the Proctors have decided to investigate they will usually send the student a summary of the allegations made against them and will inform the Dean of the student’s college that an investigation is to take place and which provisions of the Code of Discipline the student is alleged to have breached.

76. In the light of details disclosed in the initial investigation and any further enquiries, the Proctors shall take steps to interview or otherwise request information from individuals connected with the matter
alleged, or request their staff or any other appropriate person to conduct interviews on the Proctors’ behalf, and assemble such material as may be relevant as evidence.

97. (1) Any interview shall be contemporaneously recorded.

(2) If the interview is recorded in writing, both the student and his or her representative anyone accompanying the student shall be invited to sign the record as the record taken at the interview; should this be declined, the investigator shall endorse the record that this facility has been offered and declined.

(3) If the interview is tape recorded, the investigator shall have regard to and follow so far as they are appropriate the procedures laid down under the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984.

98. Any individual invited for interview by the Proctors may be accompanied by a student member or a member of University, college or Oxford University Student Union staff member of Congregation.

109. Where the Proctors intend to interview a student who is suspected of committing a breach they shall, except in urgent cases, send a notice to the student at least two clear days before the date of the interview stating the date, time, and place and sufficient other particulars to identify the alleged breach.

111. At the start of the interview the student shall be advised:

(1) that he or she does not have to answer any questions, but that anything that he or she does say may be used by the Proctors in evidence against him or her;

(2) that any refusal to answer a question may be taken into account in determining his or her guilt or innocence of the breach alleged; and

(3) that, if the alleged conduct could constitute a criminal offence, the police might be able to obtain any records from the disciplinary process in a future investigation.

112H. If, in the course of an interview, a student who was not a suspect discloses evidence that he or she might have committed the breach in question or any other breach, the Proctors shall adjourn to consider whether that is indeed the case, and if so shall caution the student as in regulation 114H above before proceeding further.

Immediate fines imposed under section 29 (2)

131H. (1) Where a Proctor or other person duly authorised by the Proctors under section 29 (2) proposes to impose an immediate fine he or she shall serve a notice in writing on the student member concerned stating the reason for the imposition of the fine and the amount of the fine, and notifying the student member of his or her right of appeal to the Student Disciplinary Panel.

(2) An immediate fine shall not exceed the maximum permitted under regulation 1 of Council Regulations 6 of 2006 (referring to section 31 (3) (a) of Statute XI).

(3) An immediate fine shall be paid to the Clerk to the Proctors within two working days of the date of imposition, whether or not the student member intends to appeal.

(4) If the student member wishes to appeal against the imposition of the fine, or the amount of the fine, he or she shall be entitled to appeal to the Student Disciplinary Panel in accordance with Statute XI and regulations made under that Statute and apply for suspension of payment of the fine in accordance with regulation 14H (2d) below.

Exercise of Summary Jurisdiction under section 31

14H. In any case in which the Proctors consider that it may be appropriate to exercise their powers under section 31, they shall send a notice to the student:

(1) informing him or her of the alleged breach against him or her, identifying by reference to the relevant provision in Statute XI the act or omission alleged to constitute the breach;

(2) giving full particulars of the alleged breach or breaches, including the date or dates or approximate date or dates on which or between which each breach is alleged to have been committed and the place at which the breach is alleged to have taken place;

(3) explaining to him or her the powers of the Proctors under section 31, including the penalties available, and the right of appeal;

(4) explaining to him or her the alternative procedures of referral to the Student Disciplinary Panel, the penalties available, and the rights of appeal;

(5) asking the student whether he or she wishes the matter to be dealt with by the Proctors under section 31 instead of being referred to the Student Disciplinary Panel;

(6) asking the student to reply to the Proctors in writing within seven days of the sending of the letter;

and the Proctors shall annex to the notice a copy of these regulations unless previously provided by the Proctors.

13H4. Where a student has agreed in writing to the matter being dealt with under section 31, the procedure shall be as follows:

(1) the Proctors shall notify the student in writing of the date of the hearing, giving at least two clear days’ notice;

(2) the student shall be entitled to be accompanied or represented by a member of Congregation;

(3) the student may apply for an adjournment of the hearing, which the Proctors may grant if they consider it reasonable to do so;

(4) the Proctors may proceed with a hearing in the absence of the student except where they consider that his or her absence is due to circumstances beyond his or control;

(5) the Clerk to the Proctors shall read out the details of the alleged breach;

(6) the Proctors shall ask the student whether he or she understands the breach he or she is alleged to have committed;

(7) if the student confirms that he or she understands the breach that he or she is alleged to have committed, the Proctors or a person appointed by them shall provide a brief summary of the case and ask the student whether he or she accepts that he or she has committed the breach;

(8) if the student accepts that he or she has committed the breach,

(a) he or she shall be invited to make a statement in mitigation of the breach and may call witnesses relevant to any findings and penalty;

(b) if the student calls witnesses, the Proctors may call evidence in reply;

(9) if the student does not accept that he or she has committed the breach,
(a) the Proctors or a person appointed by them and the student shall be entitled to give evidence at the hearing, to make an opening speech, to call witnesses, and to question any person (including a party) who gives evidence;

(b) the student will usually be required to put his or her questions through the Proctors and may be required to put them in writing;

(c) the Proctors shall present their case first;

(d) closing statements may be made, with the student being given the opportunity to speak last;

(10) the student shall be asked to withdraw while the Proctors consider their findings and any penalty;

(11) the Proctors shall announce their findings and any penalty;

(12) where a fine and/or compensation is to be imposed, it shall be paid within seven calendar days to the Clerk to the Proctors;

(13) if the student appeals to the Student Disciplinary Panel, he or she may apply to the Proctors or to that Panel under section 29 for an order suspending or postponing the payment of such a fine or imposition of any penalty imposed by the Proctors;

(14) the Proctors shall be responsible for ensuring that a written record of the proceedings is made;

(15) the Proctors shall send to the student and the Dean of the student’s college a written record of their findings and any penalty imposed and inform the Dean of the student’s college of the outcome and any penalty imposed.

Referral of Alleged Breaches for Consideration by the Student Disciplinary Panel under section 29

In any other case, proceedings shall be instituted by the Proctors by delivery to the Secretary to the Student Disciplinary Panel of a notice giving details of the alleged breach or breaches which shall include, by reference to the relevant breach of the Disciplinary Code specified in sections 2 or 3: (1) the act or acts or omission or omissions alleged to constitute the breach; (2) the date or dates or approximate date or dates on which or between which each breach is alleged to have been committed; (3) where relevant, the time and place at which each breach is alleged to have been committed;

(4) where relevant, the person or property alleged to have been affected by each breach; (5) copies of all statements and any other evidence obtained in the course of their investigation.’

Amend Council Regulations 3 of 2006, concerning the Student Disciplinary Panel as follows (new text underlined, deleted text struck through):

Part 1

General

1.1. In these regulations, unless otherwise stated:

(1) references to sections are to sections of Statute XI;

(2) references to colleges shall include colleges, societies, Permanent Private Halls, and other institutions designated by Council by regulation as being permitted to present student members for matriculation;

(3) “the Panel” means the Student Disciplinary Panel;

(4) references to breaches or alleged breaches are to breaches or alleged breaches of the Disciplinary Code under sections 2 and 3;

(5) “student” means the student member of the University (as defined in section 1 (1) (a)) alleged to be in breach of the Disciplinary Code or making an appeal against a decision concerning such a breach.

1.2. The Registrar shall appoint a person to act as Secretary to the Panel (“the Secretary”).

Part 2

Sittings

2.1. The Panel shall sit on such days as the Chair or a Vice-Chair in consultation with the Secretary deems to be necessary.

2.2. The Secretary shall be responsible for making such arrangements as are necessary for each sitting.

2.3. The Secretary shall invite three members of the Panel to constitute a sitting for the purpose of these regulations, which members shall include at least one of the Chair or Vice-Chairs.

2.4. All hearings shall normally be in private. The Panel shall have the power to determine that a hearing be held in public in the light of submissions from both parties.

2.5. All evidence or material submitted as part of the investigation shall be regarded as strictly confidential unless, following consideration of submissions, the Panel decides otherwise.

Part 3

Consideration of alleged breaches referred by the Proctors under section 29

Preparation

3.1. On delivery to the Secretary of a notice alleging a breach or breaches by the Proctors under section 29, the Secretary shall notify the student concerned in writing that the matter has been referred to the Panel by the Proctors and shall send to him or her the notice of hearing stating, by reference to the relevant provisions of Statute XI:

(1) the act or acts or omission or omissions alleged to constitute the breach;

(2) the date or dates or approximate date or dates on which or between which each breach is alleged to have been committed;

(3) where relevant, the time and place at which each breach is alleged to have been committed;

(4) where relevant, the person or property alleged to have been affected by each breach.

3.2. The Secretary shall annex to the notice of hearing:

(1) copies of all statements and any other evidence obtained in the course of the investigation on which the Proctors intend to rely;

(2) a copy of Statute XI and any regulations made under it which are relevant to the alleged breach or breaches; and

(3) a copy of these regulations.

3.3. The Secretary shall set the date of the hearing and shall give interested parties at least seven clear days’ notice in writing of the date, time and place appointed for the hearing.

3.4. Notice under regulation 3.1 above shall be addressed to the student and sent to the student’s college or his or her last notified address (if different).

3.5. At least three clear days before the date set for the hearing, the student shall forward to the Secretary copies of the evidence (including, if appropriate, witness statements) on which he or she intends to rely at the hearing. The Secretary shall send a copy of this material to the Proctors.
3.6. The Proctors or the student may at any
time apply in writing to the Secretary for an
adjournment of the hearing and the Chair
or a Vice-Chair may accede to or refuse an
adjournment.

3.7. The Chair or a Vice-Chair shall have
power, if he or she judges it to be advisable,
to cancel a proposed hearing at any
time before it has begun and substitute
alternative arrangements.

3.8. The Chair or a Vice-Chair shall have
power to strike out proceedings on the
grounds of non-prosecution.

3.9. Any hearing shall take place within two
weeks of the date of the notice of hearing referred to in regulation 3.1 above
unless the Chair or a Vice-Chair is satisfied
that there are reasonable grounds for further
delay.

3.10. A party who intends to be represented
by another person shall as soon as possible
inform the Secretary of the name and contact
details of the representative appointed. The Secretary shall then pass the
information to all other interested parties.

3.11. (1) It shall be open to any party to apply
in writing to the Chair for directions on
matters of procedure including:

(a) the provision by the Proctors of
further information concerning the
alleged breach;

(b) disclosure by the student of the
evidence (including, if appropriate,
written statements) on which he or
she intends to rely at the hearing;

(c) amendment of the notice of hearing to add, omit, or vary
an alleged breach on such terms as
are fair and reasonable in the
circumstances.

(2) Any application shall be made in the
first instance to the Secretary, and the
party applying shall at the same time give
notice of it to the other parties.

(3) If there is no objection from the other
parties, the Chair or a Vice-Chair may
make the required order without an oral
hearing.

(4) If the application is contested, or if
the Chair or a Vice-Chair believes that
the circumstances justify a hearing, he or she
may refer the application to a hearing by
the Panel.

3.12. The Panel may direct that allegations
against more than one person shall be
heard together, due regard being given to
the principles of justice and fairness.
The students shall be invited to make
observations which the Panel shall take into
account before proceeding.

3.13. The case against the student or
students shall be presented by one of the
Proctors or another person as provided in
section 30.

3.14. (1) The Proctors’ rights to
representation are explained in section
30.

(2) If the Proctors are of the opinion
that the case should be presented by a
solicitor or barrister who is not a member
of Congregation, they shall consult with
the Legal Services Office.

(3) In making their decision, they shall
take into account all relevant factors
including:

(a) the nature and complexity of the
case; and

(b) whether the student is
represented and if so by whom.

3.15. References in these regulations to the
Proctors shall include, where the context so requires, any other person appointed to
present the case.

3.16. The student may be accompanied by
or represented at the hearing by one person
who may be a student member or a member
of University, college or Oxford University
Student Union staff, but the student will
not normally be allowed to have legal
representation.

3.17. If the student is represented, shall
be entitled to be represented by another
person, who need not be legally qualified,
in that case references to the student shall include, where the context so permits,
the student’s representative.

Hearing

3.18(1) The onus of proof shall be on
the Proctors although there may be an
evidentiary burden on the student in
certain circumstances including when
presenting mitigating factors.

(2) The standard of proof shall be the
civil standard, namely the balance of
probabilities.

3.19. The alleged breach or breaches of
the Disciplinary Code shall be read by the
Secretary, and the student shall be asked to
state whether he or she accepts that he or
she has committed the breach or breaches.

3.20. (1) The written statements of the
students and of any witness called shall
stand as that individual’s evidence in chief.
The Panel may allow witnesses to give
oral statements and/or supplementary
questions to be put at its discretion.

3.21. The Secretary shall keep a sufficient
record of the proceedings.

Procedure if a student accepts that they
have committed a breach

3.22. If the student accepts that he or
she has committed the breach of the
Disciplinary Code, then

(1) the Proctors shall provide a brief
summary of the case including their
submission as to the appropriate level of
penalty;

(2) the student shall be entitled to call
witnesses whose evidence is relevant
to penalty and the Proctors may call
evidence in reply;

(3) the student shall be entitled to make
a statement in mitigation of penalty and
the Proctors shall be entitled to reply,
following which the student shall have
an opportunity to reply; and

(4) the Panel shall adjourn to determine
the appropriate penalty by reference
to its powers under section 10 and
announce the penalty at the hearing.

Procedure if a student does not accept
that they have committed a breach

3.23. If the student does not accept that
he or she has committed the breach the
procedure adopted shall be as follows.

3.24. (1) The parties to the proceedings
shall be entitled to give evidence at the
hearing, to make an opening speech,
to call witnesses, and to question any
person (including a party) who gives
evidence. The Panel will usually require
that any such questions are asked
through the Chair and may require them
to be put in writing.

(2) The case for the Proctors shall be
presented first.

(3) Unless the Panel otherwise permits:

(a) the parties shall not be
entitled to call any witness whose
evidence has not been previously
disclosed to the other party;

(b) if the Panel has made an order
under section 3(1)(b) above, the
student may not present evidence
beyond the scope of the evidence
disclosed as a result of that order.

(4) Closing statements may be made,
with the student being given the
opportunity to speak last.
(5) The Panel may proceed with a hearing in the absence of the Proctors or the student except where, in the case of the student, it considers that his or her absence is due to circumstances beyond his or her control.

(6) The Panel may at any stage during the hearing, if it is just to do so, permit the Proctors to amend the notice of hearing by adding, omitting, or varying the terms of an alleged breach as it considers to be fair and reasonable.

3.254. At the conclusion of the hearing the Panel or the parties shall withdraw while the Panel considers its findings.

3.264. Where the case is found proved, the Panel shall announce its decision and then

(1) invite the Proctors to make a submission as to the appropriate level of penalty;

(2) the student shall be entitled to call witnesses whose evidence is relevant to penalty and make a statement in mitigation of penalty;

(3) if the student calls witnesses, the Proctors may call evidence in reply; and

(4) the Panel shall adjourn to determine the appropriate penalty by reference to its powers under section 10 and announce the penalty at the hearing.

Adjudications

3.274. The Panel may adjourn any proceedings from time to time, if it is just to do so, on such terms as it thinks fit.

Payment of fines and compensation

3.284. Where a fine or compensation is ordered to be paid, it shall be paid to the Clerk to the Proctors within seven calendar days of the date of the Panel’s decision in writing unless the Panel permits payment by instalments at times and in amounts fixed by the Panel.

Costs

3.294. (1) Where the Panel finds that the student has not committed the alleged breach or breaches of which he or she has been accused, the Panel shall have a discretionary power to order the University to pay the student’s costs or part of them.

(2) In the absence of such an order, the student shall be responsible for any costs incurred in preparing and presenting his or her case.

Decision

3.3049. (1) The Panel shall supply a reasoned decision in writing, normally within one two weeks of the conclusion of the hearing.

(2) The Secretary shall provide copies of the decision to the Proctors and to the student and will inform the Dean of the student’s college of the outcome and any penalty.

3.3149. The Chair may, by an appropriate certificate in writing, correct any accidental errors in documents recording decisions of the Panel.

Part 4

Determination of Appeals to the Panel under section 33

4.1. Unless the Chair or a Vice-Chair otherwise permits, an appeal against a decision of the Proctors under section 33 shall be made in writing to the Secretary within seven clear days after the announcement of the decision.

4.2 (1) When, under Statute XI, Part C, section 29 (3), the appeal relates to the imposition of an “immediate fine”, permission to appeal must first be sought, in writing and through the Secretary, from the Chair or a Vice-Chair of the Student Disciplinary Panel within seven days of the imposition of the fine.

(2) The Secretary shall then refer the Application for Permission to Appeal to the Proctors within three working days.

(3) The Proctors must then make any response to the Application to the Secretary within seven days.

(4) The Secretary shall then refer both the Application and the Proctors’ response to it to the Student Disciplinary Panel Chair or Vice-Chair within three working days.

(5) The Student Disciplinary Panel Chair or a Vice-Chair may request the applicant and/or the Proctors to provide any further information relevant to the proposed appeal which he or she may require in determining whether permission to appeal should be granted.

(6) The application shall be determined without a hearing on the basis of the documents submitted unless the Student Disciplinary Panel Chair or a Vice-Chair considers that, in the interests of justice, a hearing should take place.

(7) (i) If the student has not committed the alleged breach or breaches of which he or she has been accused, the Panel shall have a discretionary power to order the University to pay the student’s costs or part of them.

(ii) In deciding whether to give permission to appeal the Student Disciplinary Panel Chair or Vice-Chair shall have regard to all the circumstances of the case including:

(i) the importance of the case to the appellant;

(ii) whether the proposed appeal has reasonable prospects of success.

(8) The Student Disciplinary Panel Chair or Vice-Chair shall have seven days of receipt by the Secretary of all papers, the application for permission to appeal from the student member or of any hearing, if later, send his or her decision to the student concerned and to the Proctors, and in accordance with Part 3 of these regulations.

(9) If the Permission to Appeal is granted, the Proctor shall convene a hearing of the Student Disciplinary Panel to be heard as expeditiously as possible, but giving not less than seven days’ notice of the date and time to the student concerned and to the Proctors, and in accordance with Part 3 of these regulations.

(11) If the Permission to Appeal is refused, the applicant shall have the right to make an Application for Permission to Appeal to the Student Appeal Panel in accordance with Part 9 of these regulations.

4.3. The appeal shall state whether it is made against the finding of proof of the breach or breaches or against the penalty or against both proof and penalty and shall set out the grounds of the appeal.

4.4. Within one working three days of receiving the appeal the Secretary shall deliver a copy of it to the Proctors.
4.5. Within five working seven days of receiving notice of the appeal the Proctors shall deliver to the Secretary:

(1) all documents previously served on the student by the Proctors; and
(2) a written statement of their reasons for their decision.

4.6. The Secretary shall send to the student member not less than seven days before the hearing a copy of the Proctors' response to the appeal under regulation 4.5.

4.7. The procedure for the conduct and hearing of the appeal shall be as set out in Part 3 of these regulations so far as is applicable.

4.8. Pending the determination of an appeal under this Part, the Panel may on the application of the appellant suspend or defer any penalty imposed by the decision being appealed.

Part 5

Appeals made under section 29, 33, 42 (2), 44 (2), 45 (2), 49 (3) or section 50 41 (2), 43 (2) or section 48 (3) (c)

5.1. Any appeal made to the Panel under section 29, 33, 42 (2), 44 (2), 45 (2), 49 (3) or section 50 41 (2), 43 (2), or section 48 (3) (e) shall be made in writing to the Secretary setting out the reasons for the appeal.

5.2. (1) Within one working three days of receiving the appeal the Secretary shall deliver a copy of it to the Proctors.

(2) If the appeal is made under section 42 41 (2), the Secretary shall also deliver a copy of it to the head of the student member's college and shall invite the college to make a written submission within five days of receiving notice of the appeal. The Secretary shall forward this to the Proctors.

5.3. Within five working seven days of receiving notice of the appeal or ten days if the appeal is made under section 42 41 (2) the Proctors shall deliver to the Secretary their response to the appeal which shall include:

(1) all documents previously served on the student by the Proctors;
(2) where applicable, a written statement of the reasons for their action; and
(3) any other evidence on which the Proctors intend to rely in support of their response.

5.4. The Secretary shall set a date and time for the hearing as expeditiously as possible, but giving not less than seven clear days' notice of the date and time to the student concerned and to the Proctors.

5.5. The Secretary shall send to the student member not less than seven days before the hearing a copy of the Proctors' response to the appeal under regulation 5.3 and the submission made by the college under regulation 5.2 (2) as may be applicable.

5.6. The procedure for the conduct and hearing of any appeal under this Part shall be as set out below.

5.7. (1) The parties to the proceedings shall be entitled to give evidence at the hearing, to make an opening speech, to call witnesses, and to question any witness and the Panel may require that any such questions are written down and/or asked through the Chair.

(2) The case for the Proctors shall be presented first.

(3) Closing statements may be made, the student concerned being given the opportunity to speak last.

5.8. The Secretary shall keep a sufficient record of the proceedings.

5.9. At the conclusion of the hearing the Panel or the parties shall withdraw while the Panel considers its decision.

5.10. The Panel may adjourn any proceedings from time to time, if it is just to do so, on such terms as it thinks fit.

5.11. (1) The Panel shall announce its decision at the hearing and shall supply reasons for its decision in writing, normally within one two weeks of the conclusion of the hearing.

(2) The Secretary shall provide copies of the decision to the Proctors and to the student concerned and will inform the Dean of the student's college of the outcome and any penalty.

5.12. The Chair or Vice-Chair may, by an appropriate certificate in writing, correct any accidental errors in the documents recording decisions of the Panel.

Part 6

Referrals by the Academic Conduct Appeal Panel

6.1. If the Academic Conduct Appeal Panel is of the view that a penalty within its powers should be imposed that would have the result in failure of the entire award or such seriousness has been committed that its powers under section 19 are insufficient to meet the gravity of the circumstances, it shall refer alleged breaches of sections 3, 4 or 5 of the Proctors' Disciplinary Regulations for Candidates in Examinations which it has considered to the Student Disciplinary Panel.

6.2. The procedure for the conduct and hearing of cases referred by the Academic Conduct Appeal Panel shall be as set out in Part 3 of these regulations so far as is applicable.

Part 7

Ancillary Powers

Non-payment of fines and compensation

7.1. If a student who is ordered to pay a fine or compensation by the Proctors, the Disciplinary Panel, or the Appeal Panel under the provisions of Statute XI or any regulations made under it fails to do so within the time for making payment, the Proctors shall (subject to the provisions of regulation 7.2 below) prepare and deliver to the Secretary a notice of hearing stating:

(1) the date on which the order for payment was made;
(2) the amount of the payment;
(3) the dates or by which payment should have been made;
(4) the amounts (if any) which have been paid and the date of payment; and
(5) the amount due.

7.2. If a student who is ordered to pay a fine by the Proctors (including an “immediate fine”) under the provisions of Statute XI, or any regulation made under it, fails to do so within the time limit for making the payment, then the fine may increase in accordance with Council Regulations 6 of 2006. In such cases the Proctors shall not refer the matter to the Student Disciplinary Panel unless the fine remains unpaid at the end of the maximum escalation period.

7.3. The Secretary shall send to the student the notice of hearing, and the procedure for considering the matter shall be the procedure set out in Part 3 of these regulations so far as applicable.
Failure to comply with non-financial penalties

7.6. If a student who is ordered to do something or refrain from doing something under the terms of any precautionary measure or penalty imposed by the Proctors, the Disciplinary Panel, or the Appeal Panel under the provisions of Statute XI or any regulations made under it fails to comply with that order, the Proctors shall prepare and deliver to the Secretary a notice of hearing stating:

(1) the date on which the order was made;
(2) what the order required the student to do or refrain from doing; and
(3) the particulars of the student’s breach of that order.

7.7. The Secretary shall send to the student the notice of hearing, and the procedure for considering the matter shall be the procedure set out in Part 3 of these regulations so far as applicable.

7.8. If the Panel is satisfied that the student intentionally or recklessly breached the order, it may, if it is just and reasonable in the circumstances to do so, impose any sanction available to the Panel under section 10 which the Panel considers appropriate in the circumstances to do so, impose any sanction available to the Panel under section 10 which the Panel considers appropriate.

7.9. Any penalty imposed under regulation 7.4 of rustication shall take immediate effect or may be postponed or suspended on such terms as the Panel thinks fit.

Students convicted of serious criminal offences

7.106. If the Proctors receive information that a student member has been convicted in a court of law in any jurisdiction of a serious criminal offence, they may prepare and deliver to the Secretary a notice stating:

(1) the date of the conviction;
(2) the offence for which the student was convicted;
(3) the court by which the student was convicted; and
(4) the sentence imposed.

7.107. The notice shall have annexed to it a certificate of conviction or other official document confirming the accuracy of the particulars referred to in regulation 7.106 above.

7.108. The Secretary shall send to the student the notice and the document annexed to it under regulation 7.106 above, and the procedure for considering the matter shall be the procedure set out in Part 3 of these regulations so far as applicable.

7.109. The Panel shall be entitled to take into account any credible written or oral evidence in determining whether the facts alleged in the notice are true.

7.110. If the Panel is satisfied that the student has been convicted of an offence as alleged, it may, if it is just and reasonable to do so, expel the student from membership of the University or impose such lesser penalty or other conditions as it thinks fit.

7.111. A penalty of expulsion shall take immediate effect unless there are special circumstances justifying the postponement of the expulsion.

Part 8
Disruption of Panel Proceedings

8.1. If during the course of proceedings before the Panel the conduct of any member of the University, whether as a party, as a witness, or otherwise, is disorderly or is otherwise in breach of section 2 or section 3, the Panel shall direct the Secretary forthwith to record the conduct complained of, with full particulars.

8.2. If the member whose conduct is complained of is a student member, the Secretary shall send the record to the Proctors who shall prepare a notice giving details of the alleged breach or breaches to be sent by the Secretary to the student.

8.3. The procedure for considering the matter shall be the procedure set out in Part 3 of these regulations so far as applicable and, if the Panel finds the complaint proved, it shall have the powers referred to in section 46(2) and (3).

8.4. If the member whose conduct is complained of is not a student member, the Registrar shall refer the complaint to the Registrar who shall investigate it under Statute XII or otherwise as he or she thinks fit.

Part 9
Appeals to the Student Appeal Panel

9.1. Decisions of the Student Disciplinary Panel under Part 3 of these Regulations may be appealed to the Student Appeal Panel.

9.2. Written notice of any application for permission to appeal to the Student Appeal Panel against any decision of the Student Disciplinary Panel under the provisions of Statute XI shall clearly set out the grounds for appeal and (unless the Student Appeal Panel otherwise permits) be lodged with the secretary of the Student Appeal Panel not later than fourteen days from the date of the Student Disciplinary Panel’s written decision or reasons for its decision.

3 Amend Council Regulations 4 of 2006, concerning the Student Appeal Panel as follows (new text underlined, deleted text struck through):

Part 1: Introduction

1.1. These regulations apply to the conduct of all appeals to the Student Appeal Panel as specified in section 16 (1) of Statute XI.

1.2. In these regulations the Student Appeal Panel is called “the Panel”.

1.3. The Registrar shall appoint a person to act as Secretary to the Panel “the Secretary”.

1.4. (1) The Panel shall be constituted in accordance with section 14 of Statute XI.

(2) The High Steward shall usually invite each member of the Panel in rotation to hear and determine an application for permission to appeal and any subsequent appeal sitting alone although the High Steward may for good reason related to the circumstances of the case invite a member out of the usual order of rotation.

(3) The application and any subsequent appeal shall be heard by the first member of the Panel who is able to accept the High Steward’s invitation.

1.5. (1) If a member of the Panel retires or dies or becomes incapable of acting during his or her term of office, the High Steward shall fill the vacancy arising by appointing another person who qualifies for membership under section 14 of Statute XI.

(2) The person appointed shall hold office for the remainder of the term of appointment of the person whom he or she is replacing, and may be reappointed.

1.6. It shall be the duty of the Panel to hear and determine all applications and appeals coming before it as expeditiously
as is reasonably possible, having regard to the circumstances of each case and the requirements of justice and fairness.

1.7 Where an application or appeal is required under any statute or regulation to be made to the Panel within a specified period, the Panel may at its discretion on the written application of the proposed applicant or appellant extend that period for such time and on such terms as it considers to be fair and reasonable.

1.8 Any written application under regulation 1.7 above must be sent to the Secretary, and shall, in addition to setting out the grounds of appeal, explain the delay in submission.

1.9 On receipt of the application the Secretary shall forthwith send a copy of it to all other parties to the proceedings, and the Panel shall not make a decision on it until those parties have been given a reasonable opportunity to reply to it and any reply has been considered.

1.10 Applications for permission to appeal against a decision of the Student Disciplinary Panel under section 13 of Statute XI shall be sent by the Secretary, and shall, in addition to setting out the grounds of appeal, explain the delay in submission.

1.11 On receipt of the application the Secretary shall forthwith send a copy of it to all other parties to the proceedings, and the Panel shall not make a decision on it until those parties have been given a reasonable opportunity to reply to it and any reply has been considered.

1.12 Applications for permission to appeal against a decision of the Student Disciplinary Panel under section 13 of Statute XI shall be sent by the Secretary, and shall, in addition to setting out the grounds of appeal, explain the delay in submission.

1.13 The Panel may request the applicant, the Proctors, and the Secretary to the Student Disciplinary Panel to provide any further information relevant to the proposed appeal which it may require in determining whether permission to appeal should be granted.

1.14 If the Panel decides that a hearing should take place, the Secretary shall inform the applicant and the Proctors of the date and time when and the place in Oxford at which the application will be heard.

1.15 In deciding whether to give permission to appeal the Panel shall have regard to all the circumstances of the case including:

(1) the importance of the case to the appellant; and

(2) whether the proposed appeal has reasonable prospects of success.

1.16 The Panel shall send its decision with reasons in writing to the applicant, the Proctors, and the Secretary to the Student Disciplinary Panel within 1 week of receipt of the application for permission, or of any hearing.

1.17 If the Panel decides to give permission to appeal, the application shall be treated as a notice of appeal but the Panel may request the applicant to submit written grounds for appeal or to give more particulars of grounds already stated, and may refuse to proceed further until the appellant has complied with its request.

Part 2: Applications for Permission to appeal under section 13 of Statute XI

2.1 Applications for permission to appeal against a decision of the Student Disciplinary Panel shall be determined without a hearing on the basis of the documents submitted to that Panel under Part 3 of the Regulations for the Student Disciplinary Panel and any further written submissions made by the applicant, unless the Panel considers that in the interests of justice and fairness a hearing should take place.

2.2 The Panel may request the applicant, the Proctors, and the Secretary to the Student Disciplinary Panel to provide any further information relevant to the proposed appeal which it may require in determining whether permission to appeal should be granted.

2.3 If the Panel decides that a hearing should take place, the Secretary shall inform the applicant and the Proctors of the date and time when and the place in Oxford at which the application will be heard.

2.4 In deciding whether to give permission to appeal the Panel shall have regard to all the circumstances of the case including:

(1) the importance of the case to the appellant; and

(2) whether the proposed appeal has reasonable prospects of success.

2.5 The Panel shall send its decision with reasons in writing to the applicant, the Proctors, and the Secretary to the Student Disciplinary Panel within 1 week of receipt of the application for permission, or of any hearing.

2.6 If the Panel decides to give permission to appeal, the application shall be treated as a notice of appeal but the Panel may request the applicant to submit written grounds for appeal or to give more particulars of grounds already stated, and may refuse to proceed further until the appellant has complied with its request.

Part 3: Parties to Appeals and Representations

3.1 The parties to an appeal against a decision of the Student DisciplinaryPanel shall be:

(1) the appellant; and

(2) the Proctors.

3.2 The Panel may add any other person as a party to an appeal, either on the application of any person or if the Panel itself considers it appropriate.

3.3 Any party to any appeal shall be entitled to be represented by another person, who need not be legally qualified, at the hearing or at any other stage of the appeal. The appellant may be accompanied or represented at the hearing by one person who may be a student member or a member of University college or Oxford University Student Union staff, but the appellant will not normally be allowed to have external legal representation.

3.4 The Proctors’ rights to representation are explained at section 30 of Statute XI.

3.5 A party who intends to be represented by another person shall as soon as possible inform the Secretary of the name, address, and telephone number of the person appointed.

Part 4: Powers of the Panel

4.1 The powers of the Panel in respect of appeals against decisions of the Student Disciplinary Panel under Statute XI are specified in section 16 (2) of Statute XI.

Part 5: Preparation for Hearing of Appeals

5.1 The Panel may itself or on the application of any party at any time give directions or make orders for the conduct of appeals as it considers appropriate, and it shall have power, on the application of the appellant, to suspend or vary in whole or in part the operation of the order, decision, or recommendation which is the subject of the appeal pending the determination of the appeal.

5.2 When a hearing has been arranged, the Secretary of the Panel shall send to each party, at least seven fourteen clear days before the date appointed for the hearing, notice of the date, time, and place of the hearing, and information on the right of representation by another person, on attendance, on the right to produce documents, and on the calling of evidence (where permitted by the statutes and these regulations).

5.3 The Panel shall appoint a date, time, and place in Oxford for the hearing of the appeal, which should usually be within 2 weeks of the decision to grant permission, but shall have power, if it judges this to be advisable, to adjourn a proposed hearing at any time before it has begun and substitute alternative arrangements for the hearing.

5.4 (1) If any party wishes the Panel to hear evidence or new evidence on the hearing of the appeal he or she must apply to the Panel in writing for permission to call that evidence.
(2) Any application must be accompanied by a written and signed statement of the witness whom it is proposed to call or (if that is not available) the best particulars of the evidence which the witness will give and an explanation for the absence of a written and signed statement.

5.5. Notice of any application made under regulation 5.1 or regulation 5.4 above shall be sent by the Secretary to all other parties, and the Panel shall not give a ruling on it until those parties have been given a reasonable opportunity to reply to it and any reply has been considered.

5.6. The documents for the hearing shall consist of:

1. the notice of appeal (or application for permission to appeal);
2. the written decision which is the subject of the appeal and the reasons for that decision;
3. the record of the proceedings below;
4. the written statements of all persons who were called as witnesses;
5. all other documents submitted to the tribunal or person whose decision is the subject of the appeal;
6. any further documents, witness statements, or submissions requested or permitted by the Panel to be considered on the appeal.

5.7. It shall be the duty of the Secretary:

1. to prepare the bundle of the documents for the hearing for the use of the Panel, consecutively page-numbered;
2. to prepare an index of those documents, with the page-numbering, and to send a copy of that index to all parties to the appeal; and
3. to supply any party who does not have a copy of any document with a copy of it.

Part 6: Hearing of Appeals

6.1. An appeal shall not be determined without an oral hearing at which the appellant and his or her representative, if any, are entitled to be present, unless both parties agree.

6.2. If it considers it appropriate to do so the Panel may hear appeals by two or more appellants at the same hearing. Appellants shall be invited to make observations which the Panel shall take into account before proceeding.

6.3. The Panel may proceed with a hearing in the absence of any of the persons entitled to be present, except where, in the case of the appellant, it is of the opinion that his or her absence was due to circumstances beyond his or her control.

6.4. The Panel may exclude any person from a hearing if in the opinion of the Panel such exclusion is necessary for the maintenance of order.

6.5. (1) Subject to the provisions of the Statutes and these regulations the Panel shall determine its own procedure.

(2) The Panel may set time limits for each stage of the proceedings to ensure that any appeal shall be heard and determined as expeditiously as is reasonably practicable consonant with the principles of justice and fairness.

6.6. Each party to a hearing shall be entitled to make a statement and to address the Panel and (where permitted) to call witnesses in the sequence which the Panel directs.

6.7. The Panel may adjourn a hearing from time to time, if it is fair and just to do so, on such terms as it thinks fit.

6.8. The Secretary shall be present throughout the hearing and shall keep a sufficient record of the proceedings.

6.9. The Panel may, by an appropriate certificate in writing, correct any accidental errors in documents recording decisions of the Panel.

6.10. The Secretary shall provide copies of the written record of the decision to the Proctors and to the appellant within one week of the conclusion of the hearing and, if the outcome overrules or alters the decision of the Student Disciplinary Panel, will inform the Dean of the appellant’s college of the outcome and any penalty.

Part 7: Disruption of Panel Proceedings

7.1. If during the course of proceedings before the Panel the conduct of any member of the University, whether as a party, as a witness, or otherwise, is disorderly or is otherwise in breach of section 2 or section 3 of Statute XI, the Panel shall direct the Secretary forthwith to record the conduct complained of, with full particulars.

7.2. The Secretary shall send the record to the Proctors who shall prepare a notice giving details of the alleged breach or breaches to be sent by the Secretary to the student.

7.3. The procedure for considering the matter shall be the procedure set out in Part 3 of these regulations so far as applicable and, if the Panel finds the complaint proved, it shall have the powers referred to in section 48 of Statute XI.

7.4. No member of the Panel before whom the conduct alleged in the notice took place shall sit at the hearing of the complaint made in the notice.

4. Amend Council Regulations 1 of 2016, concerning the Academic Conduct Panel as follows (new text underlined, deleted text struck through):

**Regulations for the Academic Conduct Appeal Panel**

**Part 1 General**

1.1. In these regulations, unless otherwise stated:

1. (i) references to sections are to sections of Statute XI;
(2) “the Panel” means the members of the Academic Conduct Appeal Panel who are selected to consider a particular matter, in accordance with section 17;
(3) references to breaches or alleged breaches are to breaches or alleged breaches of the Disciplinary Code under section 2 (2) (b);
(4) “student” means the student member or members of the University alleged to be in breach of the Disciplinary Code or making an appeal against a decision concerning such a breach.

**Part 2 Meetings of the Panel**

2.1. The Proctors’ Office shall be responsible for making such arrangements as are necessary for each meeting.

2.2. Meetings shall normally be in private.

2.3. Evidence or material submitted to the Panel shall be regarded as strictly confidential unless the Panel considers that there are good reasons to decide otherwise.

**Part 3 Consideration of appeals against decisions of the Proctors under section 36 alleged breaches by the Panel**

**Preparation**

3.1. When the Proctors receive a referral from an Examination Board they will investigate the case and decide whether the alleged breach is one that can be determined by the Proctors under their powers in section
35 (2) or is to be referred to the Student Disciplinary Panel. If the decision can be made by the Proctors then the decision shall be communicated to the student. If the student is dissatisfied with the outcome they can appeal a decision of the Proctor under section 36. Appeals shall be made in writing, and should be received by the Proctors Office not later than 10 working days after the date of the Proctors' written decision shall decide whether or not that alleged breach is suitable for consideration by the Panel. If the Proctors decide that the alleged breach is one that can be referred to the Panel, the Proctors shall inform the student that they have the option of having the case considered by either (a) the Panel under the powers set out in Statute XI and these regulations, or (b) the Student Disciplinary Panel.

3.2. The Panel shall consider the written appeal, the Proctor's decision and the documents which were available to the Proctor. The Panel shall not normally meet with the student although this may be appropriate in some circumstances. If the student agrees, or the case shall proceed as follows:

3.3. Before the Panel meeting, one of the Proctors shall conduct an interview with the student, which can be by any method, including in person, remote or electronic.

3.4. The Proctors' Office shall send the student information including:

(1) the act or acts alleged to constitute the breach;
(2) copies of all of the evidence which the Panel will consider;
(3) a copy of Statute XI and any regulations made under it which are relevant to the case; and
(4) a copy of these regulations.

3.5. The Proctors' Office shall set the date of the Panel meeting and shall give the student at least seven clear days' notice in writing of the date, time and place appointed for the meeting.

3.6. Information under regulations 3.4 and 3.5 above shall be addressed to the student at their college, or their last notified address, if different. Information may be sent by electronic means and must be received by the student at least seven clear days before the meeting.

3.7. The student must send the Proctors' Office any written statement or further evidence which they would like the Panel to consider at least three clear days before the Panel meeting.

Meeting

3.8. If a Panel meeting is appropriate then the student may attend the Panel meeting if they are available to do so and may be accompanied by the meeting by another person who should usually be another student or a member of University, college or Oxford University Student Union staff.

3.9. The Panel may require the student to attend the meeting, or be available by remote means, including teleconference or videoconference.

3.10. The Panel shall consider the written statement or further evidence provided by the student, whether or not they attend the meeting.

3.11. If the student is present at the meeting they shall be given the opportunity to respond to the allegation that they have committed a breach.

3.12. The Panel shall take account of any written statement or further evidence provided by the student, whether or not they attend the meeting.

3.13. If the student is present at the meeting they shall leave the room for the Panel to make its decision, and the decision on any penalty, and shall return to hear the decision.


3.15. The Panel shall normally consider appeals cases within 10 working days of one month of the Examination Board’s referral to the Proctors of receipt of the appeal within the Proctors’ Office.

3.16. If the student does not respond to reasonable attempts to contact them at their last notified address, including for the purposes of conducting the interview, referred to in regulation 3.3 above, the Panel meeting may proceed without obtaining further evidence from the student.

3.17. During the interview provided for at regulation 3.3 above the student may be given an opportunity to admit to breaching the regulations and be offered the option of the penalty being agreed by the Panel via correspondence, without a meeting taking place. The student must be (a) fully informed of the alternative courses of action available to them, (b) informed of and prepared to accept the penalty which the Proctor intends to recommend to the Panel and (c) in agreement with the Proctor's summary of the case, including whether the breach was intentional or reckless.

3.18. If the student consents to the Panel agreeing the penalty by correspondence the Panel may not impose a penalty which is more severe than the Penalty recommended by the Proctors. The Panel will discuss the penalty by correspondence and will notify the student of the penalty within five working days. The student will have a right of appeal as set out in Part 4 of these regulations. The appeal will consider the matter afresh and may impose any penalty within the Academic Conduct Panel's powers under section 19.

Decision

3.19. The decision of the Panel, including any penalty, shall be announced at the meeting if one takes place, or shall be communicated in writing to the Proctors' Office (or by correspondence if the Panel has agreed the penalty by correspondence under regulation 3.18).

3.20. The penalties available to the Panel if a breach is found, are those listed in section 19. The Panel may also decide, under section 20, that the student should be referred to the Student Disciplinary Panel. The Panel may not impose any penalty which would result in failure of the entire award. The Panel shall take into account the examination regulations and examination conventions for the examination in question.
3.21 The Panel shall supply a decision in writing, normally within five working days of the meeting.

3.132. The Proctors' Office shall send a copy of the written decision to the student within 1 week.

Part 4

Appeals

4.1 The student may appeal a decision of the Panel under section 19. Appeals shall be made in writing, and should be received by the Proctors not later than fourteen days after the date of the Panel's written decision.

4.2 The appeal shall be considered by two members of the Academic Conduct Panel with no previous connection to the case. The current Proctors cannot consider appeals.

4.3 The two members shall consider the written appeal, the Panel's decision and the documents which were available to the Panel. The two members shall not normally meet with the student (i.e. by the student attending the meeting, or by remote means, including teleconference or videoconference) although this may be appropriate in some circumstances, and is likely to be appropriate in relation to decisions made under regulation 3.17 above, where no Panel meeting has taken place.

4.4 The Proctors' Office shall communicate the outcome of the appeal and the reasons to the student in writing.

5 Amend Council Regulations 6 of 2006, concerning Fines and Compensation Imposed Under Statute XI, as follows (new text underlined):

1. The combined amount of any fine and/or compensation which the Proctors may impose under section 31 (3) (a) of Statute XI shall be up to a maximum of £300.

2. (a) If a fine or compensation order imposed under section 31 (3) (a) of Statute XI is not paid before the specified deadline for payment and no order allowing deferral of payment pending an appeal has been granted (in circumstances where there is provision for such an order to be made), the student member (as defined in section 1 (a) of Statute XI) concerned shall be liable to pay the original fine or compensation order together with:

(i) an additional fine of £25 for the first seven calendar days or part thereof during which the payment is outstanding;

(ii) a further additional fine of £25 for the subsequent seven calendar days or part thereof during which the payment is outstanding; and

(iii) after fourteen calendar days, a further additional fine of £25 for the subsequent seven calendar days or part thereof during which the payment is outstanding.

(b) The Proctors shall refer to the Student Disciplinary Panel the case of any student member (as defined in section 1 (a) of Statute XI) who fails to pay a fine or compensation order within twenty-one calendar days of the original deadline for payment.

3. The maximum laid down in regulation 1 above, and the additional fines for non-payment specified in regulation 2 (a) above, shall be reviewed annually by the Proctors.

Convocation

21 June

Elections

PROFESSOR OF POETRY

Details are in ‘Elections’ section below.
Notices

General Notices

Pro-Vice-Chancellor update on staff and graduate housing and planning

The University Strategy, approved by Congregation in Michaelmas term, put in place three important priorities that will affect the physical development of Oxford over the next decade:

1. by 2023, in partnership with the private sector, to have started the construction of 1,000 additional graduate rooms
2. by 2023, in partnership with the private sector, to have started the construction of at least 1,000 new subsidised homes for University and college staff
3. expand the innovation districts in and around Oxford, including at Begbroke Science Park and Osney Mead.

Over the last 6 months we have made good progress on these priorities with important public enquiries in Cherwell District Council, the publication of a new draft city plan for Oxford, and detailed discussions with the private sector regarding a partnership to build these facilities.

STAFF AND GRADUATE HOUSING UPDATE: FORUM FOR STAFF

Pro-Vice-Chancellor David Prout will hold two lunchtime staff forums in 6th week to provide an update on progress and respond to any questions or concerns you may have; one forum will take place in Headington and the other in the city centre. Please register your attendance by noon on 3 June.

12.30pm, 11 June, Academic Corridor, John Radcliffe Hospital. To register: https://oxforduniversityevents.wufoo.com/forms/zqe5yn10kfk6ov
1pm, 12 June, Maths Institute. To register: https://oxforduniversityevents.wufoo.com/forms/z4eOcvV1m8gonl/

Sustainability Photographer of the Year

OPEN FOR ENTRIES

The University's Sustainability Photographer of the Year Award 2019 is open to all current staff and students and offers an opportunity to exhibit skills, gain recognition, and connect individuals and day-to-day Oxford life with sustainability. Closing date: 10 June. More information: https://staff.admin.ox.ac.uk/article/open-for-entries-sustainability-photographer-of-the-year.

Ashmolean online shop: 20% staff discount

The Ashmolean is offering a 20% discount for all University of Oxford staff at the Ashmolean Online Shop (https://shop.ashmolean.org) until 31 May. Simply add discount code OUSTAFF20 at checkout, and check out using your University email address. More information: onlineshop@ashmus.ox.ac.uk.

Appointments

Humanities

SHAW PROFESSORSHIP OF CHINESE
Tian Yuan Tan, BA MA National University of Singapore, PhD Harvard, Professor of Chinese Studies, SOAS, has been appointed to the Shaw Professorship of Chinese in the Faculty of Oriental Studies with effect from 1 October 2019. Professor Tan will be a fellow of University College.

PROFESSORSHIP OF THE HISTORY OF ART
Geoffrey Batchen, BA PhD Sydney, Professor of Art History, Victoria New Zealand, has been appointed to the Professorship of the History of Art in the Department of the History of Art and the Faculty of History with effect from 1 January 2020. Professor Batchen will be a fellow of Trinity.

Electoral Boards

Composition of an Electoral Board

The composition of the electoral board to the post below, proceedings to fill which are currently in progress, is as follows:

JESUS PROFESSORSHIP OF CELTIC

Appointed by

Rector of Exeter, PVC, in the chair
Principal of Jesus ex officio
Professor P Clavin Jesus
Professor P Sims-Williams Council
Professor W Davies
Mr R Ovenden
Professor A Orchard
Professor J Smith
Professor A Willi1

from MT: Professor A Lahiri

Revised composition of an Electoral Board

The revised composition of the electoral board to the post below, proceedings to fill which are currently in progress, is as follows:

PROFESSORSHIP OF ECONOMICS

Appointed by

Professor Martin Williams, The Vice-Chancellor PVC
Warden of Nuffield ex officio
Professor P Klemperer Nuffield
Professor S Whatmore Social Sciences Division
Professor N Rose Department of Economics
Professor P Neary Department of Economics
Professor M Stevens Department of Economics
Professor S Bond Department of Economics
Professor H Low Council
Professor R Blundell Council

Musical and other Events

Botanic Garden

Lectures

Humanities

Rothermere American Institute

US HISTORY COLLOQUIUM
A colloquium will be held 31 May–1 June at the Rothermere American Institute. Free; open to the public. More information and to register: www.rai.ox.ac.uk/event/compromise-moderatism-extremism-and-fanaticism-in-american-politics-and-society-1783-1861.
Subject: ‘Compromise, moderatism, extremism and fanaticism in American politics and society, 1783–1861’

Faculty of Medieval and Modern Languages
A conference will take place 9.30am–5.30pm on 8 June in the Dorfman Room, St Peter’s. Supported by the Instituto Camões, St Peter’s and the Subfaculty of Portuguese. More information: www.torch.ox.ac.uk/event/culture-and-resistance-in-the-lusophone-world.
Subject: ‘Culture as resistance in the lusophone world: legacies and challenges’

Faculty of Oriental Studies

PUBLIC LECTURE
Professor Sung-Jo Park will lecture at 11am on 7 June in Lecture Room 1, Faculty of Oriental Studies.
Subject: ‘“One nation approach” or “democratic value approach” towards North Korea – focusing on European smart strategy’

Colleges, Halls and Societies

Lady Margaret Hall

DENEKE LECTURE
Bill McKibben will deliver the Denke Lecture at 5.45pm on 14 June in the Simpkins Lee Theatre. Registration required: www.lmh.ox.ac.uk.
Subject: ‘Seizing the climate moment’

Examinations and Boards

Regent’s Park

IN CONVERSATION
Mo Yan, Nobel Prize in Literature-winner, Yu Hua, novelist, and Su Tong, author, will be in conversation with Boyd Tonkin, writer, journalist and critic, at 5pm on 13 June in Lecture Theatre 1, Mathematical Institute. Registration required (£5): findingtherightwords.eventbrite.co.uk.
Subject: ‘Finding the right words’

UK–CHINA UNIVERSITY PRESIDENTS’ ROUNDTABLE 2019
A conference will be held 1.30–5.30pm on 6 June in Lecture Theatre 2, Mathematical Institute. Speakers include university heads of Oxford, Jilin, KCL, Cardiff, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Edinburgh, and the Chinese Embassy. Free. Registration required: cuupr2019.eventbrite.co.uk.

Examinations for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

This content has been removed as it contains personal information protected under the Data Protection Act.
Elections

Contested Elections 13 June

The nomination period for these elections closed at 4pm on Thursday, 16 May. The following elections will be contested and the results determined by postal ballot of the eligible electorates.

Council

MATHEMATICAL, PHYSICAL AND LIFE SCIENCES AND MEDICAL SCIENCES

- One member of Congregation elected by Congregation from members of the faculties in the Divisions of Mathematical, Physical and Life Sciences and of Medical Sciences to hold office from MT 2019 to MT 2023 (vice Professor M J A Freeman, Lincoln)

The following nominations have been received:

Professor Peter P Edwards, BSc PhD DSc Salf, MA Oxf, Fellow of St Catherine’s, Faculty of Chemistry

Nominated by:
H L Anderson, Keble, Faculty of Chemistry
S J Conway, St Hugh’s, Faculty of Chemistry
S G Davies, Magdalen, Faculty of Chemistry
S Faulkner, Keble, Faculty of Chemistry

Candidate statement:
I am particularly interested in protecting democratic self-governance and ensuring that Council is robust in its scrutiny and approval of proposals that are brought to Congregation appropriately and in a timely way. Council must also respond to widespread concerns to help stop or reverse the erosion of pay and pensions.

I would bring broad experience and expertise in research, management and administration and practices to increase the diversity of our community. I was Head of Inorganic Chemistry (member of MPLS Divisional Board) from 2004 to 2014 and previously 5 years as Head of Chemistry at Birmingham, following earlier periods at Cambridge (Jesus College; Director of Studies in Chemistry) and Cornell (Fulbright Scholar, NSF Fellow). I was Co-
 Founder of the first EPSRC Interdisciplinary Research Centre (1987) and the UK Sustainable Hydrogen Energy Consortium (2003) leading to my election as EPSRC RISE Fellow; currently establishing the Interdisciplinary Centre in Clean Carbon Energy with China, India, the USA and Saudi Arabia. Previously Chair of the European Research Council Advanced Investigators Award Panel on Chemical Synthesis and Advanced Materials. I was Outreach Ambassador for Jesus College (1980’s) and recently elected to the Royal Society of Chemistry Inclusion and Diversity Committee. I am a Fellow of the Royal Society, Foreign Member of the German Academy of Sciences, Einstein Professor of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Foreign Member of the US Academy of Arts and Sciences, and International Member of the American Philosophical Society.

Professor Anna Christina (Kia) Nobre, BA Williams, MA Oxf, PhD Yale, Fellow of St Catherine’s, Faculty of Psychological Studies

Nominated by:
M J Snowling, President of St John’s, Faculty of Psychological Studies
J Baird, St Anne’s, Faculty of Social Studies
R S Crisp, St Anne’s, Faculty of Philosophy
Dame Kay Davie, Hertford, Faculty of Physiological Sciences, Faculty of Clinical Medicine
N J Emptage, Lincoln, Faculty of Physiological Sciences
H I McShane, Harris Manchester, Faculty of Clinical Medicine
G R Screaton, University College, Faculty of Medical Sciences
K Talbot, Faculty of Clinical Medicine
T Williamson, New College, Faculty of Philosophy
N Woods, University College

Candidate statement:
I am strongly committed to the values of our Collegiate University, and would like to contribute to its governance as a member of Council. I have been a member of Congregation for 25 years. Since my JRF days, I have become increasingly involved in leadership and assumed positions of growing responsibility. I currently hold a statutory professorship, jointly shared between the Departments of Psychiatry and of Experimental Psychology and serve as a Professorial Fellow at St Catherine’s College. I chair the Oxford Neuroscience Strategy Committee, direct the Oxford Centre for Human Brain Activity, and act as head of the Department of Experimental Psychology. In the latter role, I had to manage the unexpected closure of the Tinbergen Building. I witnessed first-hand what can be achieved when all aspects of the University come together for the common good, and feel it would be a privilege to give something back in return. I am particularly committed to promoting the importance of scholarship and science in our society; and to fostering an inclusive, diverse, and nurturing environment in which we educate and train future generations to the highest standards.

Committees reporting to Council

BUILDINGS AND ESTATES SUBCOMMITTEE

• One person elected by Congregation to hold office from MT 2019 to MT 2020 (vice Professor Martin C J Maiden, Hertford)

The following nominations have been received:
Rosie Mortimer, MA Oxf, Department of Chemistry

Nominated by:
M Brouard, Jesus, Faculty of Chemistry
N J B Green, Faculty of Chemistry
K N Harrison, Faculty of Chemistry
A Lintern, Department of Chemistry

Candidate statement:
I am currently the Head of Administration in the Department of Chemistry and have previously been a member of BESC. This role and previous lead administrator roles in the departments of Social Policy & Intervention, and Mathematics, as well as a role in the Medical Sciences Division, where I had responsibility for the Division’s portfolio of capital projects, have given me considerable experience of the matters that BESC deals with. I have considerable direct experience of the governance and delivery of capital projects, both large and small, and as an experienced Head of Administration am well used to working at a strategic and operational level. On BESC I would be able to place the matters under consideration in their broader context as well as articulate any operational implications. I believe my experience, together with my common sense and balanced approach to issues, would make me an effective member of BESC. If elected I would work hard to bring my operational knowledge and experience to bear in a constructive and thoughtful manner.

Louise Stratton, Finance Division

Nominated by:
G L Boon, Finance Division
S Davies, Estates Division
R A Greaney, Department of Physiology, Anatomy and Genetics
N B Heath, Finance Division
E Morris, Said Business School
H L Parker, Finance Division
P A Ransom, Department of Politics and International Relations
N A Roberts, Continuing Education
C S R Taylor, Finance Division
R J Walker, St Cross

Candidate statement:
In my role as University Fixed Asset Accountant I engage with the end result of the decisions made in BESC and PRAC. I feel the detailed work I do on the accounting of the University Functional Estate, including leased properties, gives me detailed knowledge that could be of value to BESC as well as enhance understanding and appreciation in my own role. I could offer a different perspective due to my 12 years in post, such as an awareness of financial implications of decisions. I would give an objective and independent view of the estate but hopefully an informed one. I believe it would give me a broader view of Estates and the activity undertaken by them, which in turn will aid me in my own area of work. I want to contribute more and I feel this membership would be part of this by the additional contribution this will allow me to give. I am currently studying for a PgCert in Higher Education and to hold office from MT 2019 to MT 2020 (vice Professor Martin C J Maiden, Hertford)

Candidate statement:
I am well used to working at a strategic and operational level. On BESC I would be able to place the matters under consideration in their broader context as well as articulate any operational implications. I believe it would give me a broader view of Estates and the activity undertaken by them, which in turn will aid me in my own area of work. I want to contribute more and I feel this membership would be part of this by the additional contribution this will allow me to give. I am currently studying for a PgCert in Higher Education and to hold office from MT 2019 to MT 2020 (vice Professor Martin C J Maiden, Hertford)

Candidate statement:
In my role as University Fixed Asset Accountant I engage with the end result of the decisions made in BESC and PRAC. I feel the detailed work I do on the accounting of the University Functional Estate, including leased properties, gives me detailed knowledge that could be of value to BESC as well as enhance understanding and appreciation in my own role. I could offer a different perspective due to my 12 years in post, such as an awareness of financial implications of decisions. I would give an objective and independent view of the estate but hopefully an informed one. I believe it would give me a broader view of Estates and the activity undertaken by them, which in turn will aid me in my own area of work. I want to contribute more and I feel this membership would be part of this by the additional contribution this will allow me to give. I am currently studying for a PgCert in Higher Education and to hold office from MT 2019 to MT 2020 (vice Professor Martin C J Maiden, Hertford)
Uncontested elections

Nominations for these elections closed at 4pm on Thursday, 16 May 2019. As the number of nominations received is no more than sufficient to fill the following vacancies, the candidates nominated below shall be deemed to be duly elected as of Thursday, 16 May 2019 (as per Congregation regulations 2 of 2002, regulations 8.2 (3) and 8.2 (6); Council Regulations 17 of 2002, regulations 22 and 26; and Council Regulations 19 of 2002, regulations 40 and 44). For the purposes of elections, academic standing is as defined in Council Regulations 22 of 2002, Part 2: Academic Precedence and Standing, made by Council on 26 June 2002.

Council

HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

- One member of Congregation elected by Congregation from members of the faculties in the Divisions of Humanities and of Social Sciences to hold office from MT 2019 to MT 2023 (vice Dr K L Blackmon, Merton)

The following nomination has been received:

John S C Eidinow, MA Oxf, Dip Law City, Fellow of Merton, Faculty of Classics

Nominated by:
R A Cooper, Master of St Benet’s Hall, Faculty of Medieval and Modern Languages
K L Blackmon, Merton, Faculty of Management
S M Doran, St Benet’s Hall, Faculty of History
K Ghosh, Trinity, Faculty of English Language and Literature
S J Gunn, Merton, Faculty of History
J Kerkhecker, Oriel, Faculty of Classics
R A McCabe, Merton, Faculty of English Language and Literature
J B W Nightingale, Magdalen, Faculty of History
J S Payne, Merton, Faculty of Law
J W Thacker, Exeter, Faculty of Medieval and Modern Languages

 Committees reporting to Council

CURATORS OF THE UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES

- Two members of Congregation elected by Congregation to hold office from MT 2019 to MT 2023 (vice Professor Nicholas Purcell, Brasenose, and Professor H R Woudhuyzen, Rector of Lincoln)

The following nomination has been received:

Georgy Kantor, MA Moscow, MA MPhil DPhil Oxf, Fellow of St John’s, Faculty of Classics

Nominated by:
G O Hutchinson, Christ Church, Faculty of Classics
C Metcalf, Queen’s College, Faculty of Classics
T J Morgan, Oriel, Faculty of Classics
L V Pitcher, Somerville, Faculty of Classics
W E Poole, New College, Faculty of English Language and Literature
P Probert, Wolfson, Faculty of Classics, Faculty of Linguistics, Philology and Phonetics
T Reinhardt, Corpus Christi, Faculty of Classics
R R Smith, Lincoln, Faculty of Classics, Sub-Faculty of Archaeology
R Thomas, Balliol, Faculty of Classics
P J Thonemann, Wadham, Faculty of Classics

Other Committees and University Bodies

CURATORS OF THE SHELDONIAN THEATRE

- One member of Congregation elected by Congregation to hold office with immediate effect to MT 2023 (vice S J Payne, Keble, Faculty of Engineering Science)

The following nomination has been received:

G D Hamnett, BA Newc, MA MSt Oxf, Fellow of Brasenose, Faculty of Classics

Nominated by:
J C Baldwin, Brasenose
B Kinsey, Balliol
P Martin, Wadham
S Smith, Brasenose

NOMINATING COMMITTEE FOR THE VICE-CHANCELLORSHIP

- Two persons not also being members of Council elected by and from Congregation to hold office with immediate effect to MT 2025 (vice Nicholas Bamforth, Queen’s, and Professor A W Roscoe, University College)

The following nomination has been received:

Huw R Dorkins, BM BCh MA Oxf, MA Camb, MSc Lond, Fellow of St Peter’s, Faculty of Physiological Sciences

Nominated by:
M Damazer, Master of St Peter’s
M G Moloney, St Peter’s, Chemistry
H L Spencer, Exeter, English
B Szendroi, St Peter’s, Mathematics
A Zavatsky, St Edmund Hall, Engineering Science

VISITATORIAL BOARD PANEL

- Three persons elected by and from Congregation to hold office from MT 2019 to MT 2023 (vice Dr Chrystalina Antoniades, Faculty of Clinical Medicine, Professor Heather O’Donoghue, Linacre, and Professor Lesley J Smith, Harris Manchester)

The following nominations have been received:

C A Antoniades, PhD Camb, Faculty of Clinical Medicine

Nominated by:
Council, under Regulation 2.(2)(c) of Council Regulations 36 of 2002

Dr Elizabeth A Gemmill, BA PhD Manc, MA Oxf, Fellow of Kellogg, Faculty of History

Nominated by:
Council, under Regulation 2.(2)(c) of Council Regulations 36 of 2002
Divisional Boards

MATHEMATICAL, PHYSICAL AND LIFE SCIENCES BOARD

• One person elected by and from among the members of the Faculty of Chemistry to hold office from MT 2019 to MT 2023 (vice Professor Philip Mountford, St Edmund Hall)

The following nomination has been received:

S R MacKenzie, Fellow of Magdalen, Faculty of Chemistry

Nominated by:
J L P Benesch, University College, Faculty of Chemistry
M Brouard, Jesus, Faculty of Chemistry
N J B Green, Faculty of Chemistry
F R Mortimer, Department of Chemistry

Faculty Boards

BOARD OF THE FACULTY OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE

• Four persons elected by and from the members of the Faculty of English Language and Literature to hold office from MT 2019 to MT 2021 (vice Dr Marina Mackay, St Peter’s, Dr Erica L McAlpine, Keble, Professor Lloyd Pratt, St John’s, and Dr Olivia Smith)

The following nominations have been received:

Dr David Dwan, MA PhD Lond, MA Oxf, Fellow of Hertford

Nominated by:
J L P Benesch, University College, Faculty of Chemistry
M Brouard, Jesus, Faculty of Chemistry
N J B Green, Faculty of Chemistry
F R Mortimer, Department of Chemistry

Dr Adam Guy, MA Lond, MA DPhil Oxf, Fellow of Wadham

Nominated by:
B D R Higgins, Lincoln
F P V Leneghan, St Cross
A P M Orchard, Pembroke
D L Wakelin, St Hilda’s

Dr David Taylor, MPhil DPhil Camb, MA St And, Fellow of St Hugh’s

Nominated by:
B D R Higgins, Lincoln
F P V Leneghan, St Cross
A P M Orchard, Pembroke
D L Wakelin, St Hilda’s

BOARD OF THE FACULTY OF HISTORY

• Eight persons elected by and from among the members of the Faculty of History holding posts on the establishment or under the aegis of the board to hold office from MT 2019 to MT 2021 (vice Professor P J Hämäläinen, St Catherine’s, J C Belich, Balliol, D M Hopkin, Hertford, Professor Robert Iliffe, Linacre, M E Mulholland, St Catherine’s, Dr Lucy Wooding, Lincoln, Dr Sian Pooley, Magdalen, and Nicholas Stargardt, Magdalen)

The following nominations have been received:

Erica Charters, BHum Carleton, MA Toronto, DPhil Oxf, Fellow of Wolfson

Nominated by:
N Nowakowska, Somerville
S Mortimer, Christ Church
B Ward-Perkins, Trinity
J L Watts, Corpus

Professor Ian McBride, BA Oxf, PhD Lond, Fellow of Hertford

Nominated by:
H Smith, St Hilda’s
C R Schenk, St Hilda’s
H Smith, St Hilda’s
A Wright, St John’s

James R McDougall, MA MSt DPhil Oxf, Fellow of Keble

Nominated by:
C R Schenk, St Hilda’s
H Smith, St Hilda’s
N Stargardt, Magdalen
A Wright, St John’s

Professor Maria Misra, MA DPhil Oxf, Fellow of Keble

Nominated by:
C R Schenk, St Hilda’s
H Smith, St Hilda’s
N Stargardt, Magdalen
A Wright, St John’s

Professor Katherine Paugh, BA Virginia, PhD Pennsylvania, Fellow of Corpus

Nominated by:
S Mortimer, Christ Church
N Nowakowska, Somerville
B Ward-Perkins, Trinity
J L Watts, Corpus

Professor Catherine R Schenk, BA MA Toronto, PhD LSE, Fellow of St Hilda’s

Nominated by:
S Mortimer, Christ Church
N Nowakowska, Somerville
B Ward-Perkins, Trinity
J L Watts, Corpus

Alan L Strathern, BA DPhil Oxf, MA Lond, Fellow of Brasenose

Nominated by:
C R Schenk, St Hilda’s
H Smith, St Hilda’s
N Stargardt, Magdalen
A Wright, St John’s

BOARD OF THE FACULTY OF MUSIC

• Two persons elected by and from among the members of the Faculty of Music to hold office from MT 2019 to MT 2021 (vice Dr Anne Stoll-Knecht, Jesus, and Mark T Williams, Magdalen)

The following nominations have been received:

Guy T Newbury, MA Oxf, MA MPhil Suss, PhD Durh, Fellow of Pembroke

Nominated by:
D N Maw, Oriel
R Moore, St Peter’s
B Skipp, Hertford
J P Traill, St Anne’s

Benjamin Skipp, MA MSt DPhil Oxf, Fellow of Hertford

Nominated by:
D Hopkin, Hertford
D N Maw, Oriel
R Moore, St Peter’s
G T Newbury, Pembroke
J P Traill, St Anne’s

BOARD OF THE FACULTY OF PHILOSOPHY

• Three persons elected by and from the members of the Faculty of Philosophy to hold office from MT 2019 to MT 2020 (vice Professor S J Mulhall, New College, H Greaves, Merton, and W T M Sinclair, Wadham)

The following nominations have been received:

H C Greaves, BA Oxf, PhD Rutgers, Fellow of Merton

Nominated by:
U C M Coope, Keble
S J Mulhall, New College
W T M Sinclair, Wadham
G T Williams, Brasenose

P Koralus, BA Pomona, PhD Princeton, Fellow of St Catherine’s

Nominated by:
U C M Coope, Keble
M J Mikkel, Somerville
W T M Sinclair, Wadham
G T Williams, Brasenose
M A Wrathall, BA Brigham Young, MA
Boston, PhD Berkeley, Fellow of Corpus Christi

Nominated by:
  U C M Coope, Keble
  M J Mikkola, Somerville
  W T M Sinclair, Wadham
  C G Timpson, Brasenose

Notes:
Nominations in writing for the elections on 13 June, by four members of Congregation other than the candidate, were received by the Elections Office at the University Offices, Wellington Square, up to 4pm on 16 May. For further information, please contact the Elections Officer (shirley.mulvihill@admin.ox.ac.uk).

Lapsed vacancies

As no nominations were received, the following vacancies have now lapsed and, in accordance with the regulations, must remain vacant until an appointment is made jointly by the Proctors and the Vice-Chancellor.

Any eligible member of Congregation who wishes to be considered for appointment to one of these vacancies is asked to contact the Elections Office (shirley.mulvihill@admin.ox.ac.uk) by 6 June.

CURATORS OF THE UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES

One of two members of Congregation elected by Congregation to hold office from MT 2019 to MT 2023

NOMINATIONS COMMITTEE

Two persons elected by and from Congregation to hold office from MT 2019 to MT 2022

VISITORS OF THE ASHMOLEAN MUSEUM

One member of the Medical Sciences Division elected by Congregation to hold office with immediate effect to MT 2022

One member of the Social Sciences Division elected by Congregation to hold office from MT 2019 to MT 2023

DELEGACY FOR MILITARY INSTRUCTION

One person elected by Congregation to hold office from MT 2019 to MT 2023

NOMINATING COMMITTEE FOR THE VICE-CHANCELLORSHIP

One of two persons not also being members of Council elected by and from Congregation to hold office with immediate effect to MT 2025

VISITATORIAL BOARD PANEL

One of three persons elected by and from Congregation to hold office from MT 2019 to MT 2023

MATHEMATICAL, PHYSICAL AND LIFE SCIENCES BOARD

One person elected by and from among the members of the Faculty of Mathematics to hold office from MT 2019 to MT 2023

SOCIAL SCIENCES DIVISIONAL BOARD

One member elected by and from among the academic members of the School of Anthropology and Museum Ethnography to hold office with immediate effect to MT 2019

One member elected by and from among the academic members of the Department of Social Policy and Intervention to hold office with immediate effect to MT 2019

BOARD OF THE FACULTY OF CLASSICS

Two persons elected by and from among the members of the Subfaculty of Classical Languages and Literature to hold office from MT 2019 to MT 2021

BOARD OF THE FACULTY OF ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE

One of four persons elected by and from among the members of the Faculty of English Language and Literature to hold office from MT 2019 to MT 2021

BOARD OF THE FACULTY OF HISTORY

One of eight persons elected by and from among the members of the Faculty of History holding posts on the establishment or under the aegis of the board to hold office from MT 2019 to MT 2021

BOARD OF THE FACULTY OF THEOLOGY AND RELIGION

Three persons elected by and from among the members of the Faculty of Theology and Religion to hold office from MT 2019 to MT 2021

Convocation

Nominations for this election closed on 9 May.

Election of the Professor of Poetry

An election by Convocation will be held in Trinity term 2019 in order to elect the Professor of Poetry, to hold office for four years from the first day of Michaelmas term 2019. A meeting of Convocation will be held on Friday, 21 June 2019, to announce the results.

DUTIES AND STIPEND OF THE PROFESSOR

The duties of the Professor are: normally to give one public lecture each term on a suitable literary subject (where the term ‘public lecture’ does not include poetry readings or recitals or other such events); to deliver an inaugural lecture; normally to offer one more event each term (which may include poetry readings, workshops, hosted events etc); to give the Creweian Oration at Encaenia every other year (a duty established by convention); each year, to be one of the judges for the Newdigate Prize, the Jon Stallworthy Prize, the Lord Alfred Douglas Prize and the Chancellor’s English Essay Prize; every third year to help judge the prize for the English poem on a sacred subject; and generally to encourage the art of poetry in the University.

The Professor receives a stipend of £12,710 per annum which is increased in line with the annual cost-of-living increases for academic and related staff, plus £40 for each Creweian Oration. The Professor may hold the post in conjunction with another professorship or readership within the University. The post enjoys an association with All Souls College, but there may be occasions when the postholder is offered an association by another college (e.g. if the postholder has a prior association with another college).

Further particulars for the Professorship are available on the University website (www.ox.ac.uk). Informal enquiries about the post should be addressed to the Chair of the Faculty Board, Professor Ros Ballaster, who can be contacted by email at chair@ell.ox.ac.uk. All enquiries will be treated in strict confidence.

ELECTION PROCEDURES

This election will be conducted electronically by Electoral Reform Services Ltd (ERS) on behalf of the University of Oxford.

All members of Convocation are eligible to vote in this election.
Convocation

Convocation consists of all the former student members of the University who have been admitted to a degree (other than an honorary degree) of the University, and of any other persons who are members of Congregation or who have retired having been members of Congregation on the date of their retirement.

Membership of Convocation is conferred automatically to members of Congregation whose names have been entered in the Register of Congregation and to Oxford degree-holders after having attended a formal degree ceremony (either in person or in absentia). Those wishing to verify their current status as members of Convocation are asked to contact their college secretary or departmental administrator.

The functions of Convocation are set out in the University Statutes (www.admin.ox.ac.uk/statutes/780-121.shtml).

Nominations

Nominations by 50 members of Convocation other than the candidate were received by the Elections Office at the University Offices, Wellington Square, up to 4pm on Wednesday, 9 May. The list of all those nominated by the deadline is published in this issue of the Gazette.

Candidate statements

Each candidate for election, or authorised representative, was permitted to submit for publication a written statement, of no more than 500 words, setting out the candidate’s reasons for standing, including for publication one photograph of themselves and one URL to the candidate’s own website if they so wished. These statements were received by the Elections Office at the University Offices, Wellington Square, by 4pm on Wednesday, 9 May. In the event of a contested election, these statements shall be published in the University Gazette and on the official University website.

Congregation flysheets

Ten or more members of Congregation may arrange to have a flysheet circulated with the Gazette in regard to this election. The rules made by Council governing the circulation of flysheets are given in Appendix B of Congregation Regulations 2 of 2002 (www.admin.ox.ac.uk/statutes/regulations/529-122b.shtml#_Toc28141352).

Voting arrangements

If the election is not contested the result will be announced online and in the Gazette following the close of nominations. In the event of a contested election, an online ballot will be conducted by the Electoral Reform Services in accordance with the following procedures and the results announced at a meeting of Convocation on Friday, 21 June.

Voter registration

All members of Convocation who wish to vote in this election must register their intention to vote on www.ersvotes.com/oxfordpoetry19 by noon on Thursday, 6 June (BST).

Once you have submitted your request to register, your details will be checked to ensure you are a member of Convocation and eligible to vote in this election. You will be notified by email if your request has been unsuccessful. If you are unable to register online, or have any queries about the registration process, a telephone helpline will be available during the registration period.

Voting

Registered voters will be able to vote online from Thursday, 23 May, until noon on Thursday, 20 June (BST). Voters will be sent instructions on how to vote once their eligibility to vote has been verified.

Result of a contested election

The result of a contested election will be announced in a meeting of Convocation at 3pm on Friday, 21 June, in Convocation House. Members of Convocation wishing to attend the meeting are asked to note that the wearing of gowns is optional. The result will subsequently be reported on the University’s website and published in the Gazette.

FURTHER INFORMATION

Informal enquiries about the post should be addressed to the Chair of the Faculty Board, Professor Ros Ballaster, who can be contacted by email at chair@ell.ox.ac.uk. All enquiries will be treated in strict confidence.

Further information about election procedures may be found in Council Regulations 8 of 2002 (www.admin.ox.ac.uk/statutes/regulations/1089-120.shtml).

Those wishing to verify their current status as members of Convocation are asked to contact their college secretary or departmental administrator.

Media enquiries should be directed to the University of Oxford News and Information Office (email: news.office@admin.ox.ac.uk; tel: +44 (0)1865 280528 or stuart.gillespie@admin.ox.ac.uk; +44 (0)1865 283877).

All other queries should be addressed to the Elections Officer, Ms S L Mulvihill, Council Secretariat, University Offices, Wellington Square, Oxford, OX1 2JD (email: poetry.election@admin.ox.ac.uk; tel: +44 (0)1865 280463).

NOTICE OF NOMINATIONS

The nomination period for this election closed at 4pm on Thursday, 9 May 2019. Three candidates met the threshold of 50 nominations from members of Convocation:

Andrew McMillan

Nominated by:
Sophie Afdhal
Ms Samira Ahmed
Dr Natasha Alden
Mr Rob Alderson
Dr Jacob Anders
Dr Joanne Baker
Mr Dominic Barker
Mr Charles Beckett
Billy-Ray Belcourt
Ms Kathryn Bevis
Mr Luka Boeskens
Ms Hannah Bond
Simon Boyle
Mr Nicholas Brooksbank
Mr Alan Buckley
Ms Louise Chantal
Dr Rosalind Clarke
Mr Andrew Copson
Mrs Elizabeth Coulter
Mr Benjamin Curthoys
Mr Rishi Dastidar
Mr Michael Donkor
Ms Rosemary Edge
Mr Richard Egleton
Dr Gareth Evans
Ms Georgia Faletas
Mr Stuart Ffoulkes
Miss Dorothy Finan
Ms Susannah Ford
Professor Matthew Fox
Miss Alison Garcia
Ms Charlotte Geater
Mr Aled George
Mr Alexis Gibbs
Alice Oswald

Nominated by:

Dr Kalina Allendorf
Dr Harriet Archer
Ms Kate Caomhie Arthur
Professor Laura Ashe
Ms Hazel Barkworth
Professor Helen Barr
Dr Rebecca Beasley
Professor Matthew Bevis
Alice Bloom
Professor Elleke Boehmer
Professor Charlotte Brewer

Professor David Gillespie
Ms Sarah Godwin
Dr Thea Goodsell
Mr Will Green
Mr Tarquin Grossman
Professor Keith Hanley
Mrs Sarah Harkness
Mr Edward Hodgkinson
Mr Robert Holton
Dr Anthony House
Miss Laura Howes
Mr Oliver Seth Insua-Summerhays
David Ireland
Mr Stephen Mark Jones
Ms Fiona Helen Kemp
Yoolim Kim
Dr Gareth Langley
Ms Maisie Lawrence
Miss Georgina Lee
Dr Daryl Leeworthy
Mr Chris McCartney
Ms Catherine Max
Mr Brian Millar
Dr Eva Miller
Mr Amos Millet
Hereward Mills
Professor Rana Mitter
Claire Morley
Miss Gemma Murray
Mr John Owen
Mrs Rosamund Owens
Professor Carl Phelpstead
Mr Tony Pinkney
Ms Elizabeth Porter
Ms Antonia Prescott
Ms Rachel Richardson
Ms Yara Rodrigues Fowler
Dr Alice Roworth
Mr Ian Runacres
Ms Esther Phoebe Rutter
Mr Edward Siddons
Professor Catherine Spooner
Professor James Studd
Dr Matthew Sweet
Mr Timothy Owain Vaughan
Mr Ashley Walters
Ms Rebecca Watson
Ms Harriet Williams

Mr Alex Bridgland
Dr David Bryan
Professor Felix Budelmann
Professor Philip Bullock
Professor Colin Burrow
MRS Kirsten Burrows
Dr Rachel Buxton
Miss Nancy Campbell
Ms Alice Carrington-Windo
Dr Robert Cassels
Professor Terence Cave
Professor Supriya Chaudhuri
Dr Matthew Cheung Salisbury
Mr Thomas Chivers
Mr Rossa Commane
Professor Martin Conway
Mr Tom Cook
Ms Denise Cripps
Dr Andrew Dean
Mr Richard Devereux
Professor Michael Dobson
Professor Robert Douglas-Fairhurst
Dr David Dwan
Professor Rachel Falconer
Dr Julie Farguson
Mr John Field
Mr Jamie Findlay
Dr Mark Floyer
Professor Robert Foster
Dr Peta Fowler
Professor Peter Frankopan
Professor Vincent Gillespie
Mr Alan Glaum
Professor Roger Goodman
Mr R G Gould
Dr Jane Griffiths
Professor Daniel Grimley
Mr John Grimond
Mr Mark Haddon
Ms Mercy Haggerty
Mr Tim Hall
Professor Alexandra Harris
Professor Stephen Harrison
Mr Isaac Harrison Louth
Professor Edmund Herzig
Mr Dominic Hewett
Dr Benjamin Higgins
Dr Kerstin Hoge
Dr Malcolm Howe
Mr Peter Huhne
Professor Gregory Hutchinson
Professor Lorna Hutson
Professor Katherine Ibbett
Professor Jennifer Inglehart
Ms Angie Johnson
Jeri Johnson
Professor Susan Jones
Thomas Karshan
Professor John Kerrigan
Professor Katrin Kohl
Professor Carolyne Larrington
Professor Emeritus Hermione Lee
Professor Angela Leighton
Ms Fiona Lensvelt

Ms Sadie Slater
Professor Helen Small

Professor Suzannah Lipscomb
Dr Jamie Lorimer
Dr Charlie Louth
Mr Michael Loveday
Dr Erica McAlpine
Professor Ian McBride
Mr Harry McCarthy
Professor Peter McDonald
Mr Robin Mcghee
The Rev’d Dr Elizabeth Macfarlane
Dr Tom MacFaul
Professor Patrick McGuinness
Professor Fiona Macintosh
Dr Marina MacKay
Professor Martin McLaughlin
Mr Thomas McLaughlin
Professor Kate McLoughlin
Ms Lise McNally
Professor Laurie Maguire
Professor Laura Marcus
Dr Michael Mayo
Mr M Mendelssohn
Mr Joseph Minden
Dr Clare Morgan
Dr Llewelyn Morgan
Professor Teresa Morgan
Dr Joseph Moshenska
Sir Andrew Motion
Dr Subha Mukherji
Dr Kathryn Murphy
Professor Lucy Newlyn
Ms Lucia Nixon
Ms Jacqueline Norton
Mr Bernard O’Donoghue
Ms Ellen O’Neill
Mr Richard Ovenden
Dr Georgina Faul
Dr Siofra Pierse
Ms Hannah Pollard
Dr William Poole
Ms Antonia Potter
Mr David Potter
Professor Lloyd Pratt
Professor Philomen Probert
Professor Diane Purkiss
Dr Josephine Quinn
Mr Craig Raine
Ms Namratha Rao
Miss Victoria Reynolds
The Rev’d Canon Leanne Roberts
Major Nicola Roberts
Dr Lynn Robson
Professor Tim Rood
Dr Tessa Roynon
Dr Katherine Rundell
Dr David Russell
Dr Jenny Sager
Dr Elizabeth Scott-Baumann
Professor Catriona Seth
Professor Jane Shaw
Professor Sally Shuttleworth
Ms Bailey Sincox

Dr Charlie Louth

Ms Celia Smith
Dr Olivia Smith
Professor Adam Smyth
Mr Michael Spriggs
Mr Nathan Stazicker
Dr Hannah Sullivan
Dr Helen Swift
Professor Oliver Taplin
Dr David Taylor
Dr Nicola Trott
Ms Charlotte Turnbull
Dr Marion Turner
Mrs Margaret Tween
Charles Tyson
Mrs Clare Wakeham
Professor Daniel Wakelin
Ms Lucy Ward
Mr Christopher Whalen
Ms Esther Osorio Whewell
Mr David White
Professor William Whyte
Mr Henry Wickham
Professor Abigail Williams
Professor David-Antoine Williams
Dr Gillian Woods
Miss Laura Wright
Mr Miles Young

Todd Swift

Nominated by:
Mr Timothy Ades
Mr Dominick Ashe
Mr Michael Atkinson
Mr Xavier Bach
Mr Andrew Baird
Dr Jemma Bong
Mr Andrew Breach
Mr Nigel Burt
Dr John Catherall
Dr Karen Cull
Mr Matej Damborsky
Mr Michael Dangerfield
Mrs Olivia Donnelly
Dr Alice Dub
Mr Alan Dunnett
Mr George Evans
Mr Gwennael Fedder
Irina Fedorenko
Mr James Flynn
Ms Stephanie Forrest
Mr Lyman Gamberton
Mr Matthew Goldie-Scot
Mr Alan Mangeot
Mr Nigel Burt
Mr Hispania Han
Mr David Hill
Ms Anahtta Hoose
Miss Angela Jackson
Mr Oliver Jones
Mr Rio Jones
Mr Adam Jordan
Professor Mikko Kaasalainen
Mark Kingstone
Mr Duncan Larraz
Mr Timothy Leddy
Mr Henry Little
Mr Mark McGuinness
Dr Sean McMahon
Mr Andrew Mangeot
Dr Sam Meekings
Mr Carl Morris
Ms Alexandra Nachescu
Mr Jonathan Naess
Mr Lucy O’Brien
Dr Alex O’Byran-Tear
Miss Lucy Oddy
Mr Nicolas Ollivant
Dr Vivienne Raper
Mr John Richardson
Miss Hannah Scaife
Ms Jane Shallaker
Mrs Alexandra Strnad
Mr Tancred Taylor
Ms Bethan Tichborne
Mrs Maria Tishenina
Mr Simon Vekser
Ms Jenny Whitworth
Dr Laura Selena Wisnom
Mr Renbin Woo
Dr Samuel Garrett Zeitlin

Candidates’ statements

ALICE OSWALD

I’d like to be considered for the position of poetry professor, which I see as an opportunity to deepen my research into Spoken Poetry and to bring that research to a wider audience.

It’s important to me to find ways of connecting with the City of Oxford as well as the University and for this reason, besides delivering lectures, I’d like to stage some Extreme Poetry Events: for example all-night readings of long poems, poetry in the dark or in coloured light, even perhaps a Carnival of Translation, A Memory Palace, a Poem-Circus (like the Music-Circus of John Cage), or an exhibition of mobile poems. A book is a wonderfully quiet place, but I’ve always thought that poetry, if offered the right occasions, will rise to meet them and that one of our tasks as poets is to invent such occasions for ourselves and for others. I’d be delighted if I could tempt school children to take part in some of these.

I believe that poetry is, among other things, an ancient memory system, that it asks to be heard out loud or at least read in the manner of a musical score, that it promotes imaginative over rational understanding and that the strength of the imagination is its ability to think between disciplines and to conceive of wholes not just parts. While I was at University I was grateful that the Ruskin School of Art offered different approaches to learning and I like to think that a poetry professor might provide something similar, perhaps in the form of workshops or structured conversations. There is after all plenty to discuss. It’s exciting to be engaged in poetry at a time when its medium is changing almost as radically as it did in the eighth century B.C. I see no reason why Instagram poems shouldn’t prove as rewarding as Concrete poems or the visual poems of classical Chinese and I’d welcome the chance to invite young poets to engage in discussion about what poetry has been and is becoming.

As a Homer fanatic, I’m keen to make links between ancient epic and contemporary performance poetry. Through my association with the Archive for Performance of Greek and Roman Drama, I’d like to remind people that a poem is always more than a written text and that short lyric poems need to operate among longer more theatrical forms, such as the verse drama, the song-cycle, the folk tale, the liturgy. I have recently been commissioned to write a book about Homer and it would be a pleasure to be in contact with other classicists while working on this.

In fact it goes without saying that my own poems would benefit immeasurably from contact with the University. I am currently working on a number of collaborations with visual artists, dancers and musicians and would love to try these out in a critical environment. And of course the Bodleian Library would be an invaluable resource for any poet.

ANDREW MCMILLAN

It’s a cliché, but poetry is having a bit of a ‘moment’ right now; more than any time in the past two decades we’re witnessing a new generation of poets step forward and claim space within the prize-lists and the editorial boards and the inner-rooms of our art form. Yet still, the poets who have been so central to our culture for the past half-century continue to expand their craft, improving their body of work with each new poem, each new collection. The Oxford Professor of Poetry feels like a position which is uniquely suited to this current moment; both future-facing, towards the student-body and yet with the weight and prestige of the lineage of predecessors standing behind it as well.

When I was in Year 9 or 10 of Secondary School, me and another boy from my class were grouped together with other kids from Barnsley and bussed down to Oxford as part of an Aim Higher campaign to get more of us to consider going to Oxbridge. My memories of the day are hazy, but I recall walking down some long corridors and then being taken
to see the film The Day After Tomorrow in the evening, before travelling back up the next day.

It is true that I am young (though with half a decade on Keats, at his oldest), but I would hope to make a dynamic, insightful and fresh contribution to the life of poetry and literature at the university. Perhaps the best thing a role like this can do is to offer illumination of poets who time or fashions have pushed back into the dark.

There’s a scene in The Day After Tomorrow where a huge wall of water is coming towards the cast as they stand in New York City; they seek shelter by hiding in the New York Public Library and (spoiler alert!) a select few of them stay there until they are rescued at the end of the film. It’s an interesting image to contemplate at this current cultural intersection we find ourselves at. Perhaps there’s a temptation to see this new generation as a flood seeking to drown out all tradition. Maybe there’s a tendency to think of our plethora of mid-career and long-serving poets as solid, important, but a little bit dull, standing stoically as the wave approaches. Neither of these statements is true. Indeed if that wave had been allowed to reach the library, to reach the shelves and the tomes that slept on them, that would have been the ideal outcome. The new generation mixing with the central voices of our poetic culture. A professorship that speaks both forwards and backwards to tomorrow, and the day after that as well.

TODD SWIFT

I have been an international poetry activist, poet, small press publisher, editor, anthologist, and poetry tutor for over 30 years, beginning in Montreal, where I was mentored by Ezra Pound’s mentee, Louis Dudek. I was listed in the Oxford Companion to Modern Poetry, and was the 2017–2018 Poet-in-residence at Pembroke College, Cambridge. As Oxfam GB’s Poet-in-residence I ran a national poetry contest and edited numerous anthologies, and ran a reading series for a decade. My own poetry has appeared across the world in periodicals and magazines; and in over 20 collections, including pamphlets. In 2003, I was featured on CNN for my work as a co-organiser of the Poets Against the War movement, and edited the Salt anthology. In the 90s I pioneered Fusion poetry, a hybrid of performance, hip hop, and digital poetics. My PhD is on style in modern poetry. Over three decades I have shown a commitment to innovation, encouragement, enthusiasm and excitement in poetry - and have always supported, and advocated for, a diverse and open understanding of what poetry is, and can do. As a Canadian- British poet, I bring a multilingual and multicultural vision to poetics and the promotion of ideas about poetry in the 21st century. The themes of my own poetry are relevant at this time, since my key themes are gender identity, pop culture, sex, desire, politics, violence, religious faith and doubt, ecology, and scientific inquiry, as they swirl about in a language mash-up - created by a fractured lexical construct that may or not be a coherent being. I am a high modernist romantic, taking my bearings from FT Prince, Hart Crane, Wallace Stevens as well as Pound and H.D. My work has been described as a strange admixture of Dylan Thomas and Paul Muldoon. It is in fact its own stylish melange of low and high diction, exploring the eccentric possibility of being a true person or persona in a hyper-mediated age. As a long-time public speaker and polemict, I would enjoy the chance to lecture on current trends in poetry and as a gadfly of sorts continue to ask questions of poetry, poets and poems.
Groups and societies

The Oxford University Newcomers' Club at the University Club, 11 Mansfield Rd, OX1 3SZ, welcomes the wives, husbands or partners of visiting scholars, of graduate students and of newly appointed academic and administrative members of the University. We offer help, advice, information and the opportunity to meet others socially. Informal coffee mornings are held in the club every Wednesday 10.30am–noon (excluding the Christmas vacation). Newcomers with children (aged 0–4) meet every Fri in term 10.15am–11.45am. We have a large programme of events including tours of colleges, museums and other places of interest. Other term-time activities include a book group, informal conversation group, garden group, antiques group, an opportunity to explore Oxfordshire and an Opportunities in Oxford group. Visit our website: www.newcomers.ox.ac.uk.

Restoration and Conservation of Antique Furniture

John Hulme undertakes all aspects of restoration. 30 years’ experience. Collection and delivery. For free advice, telephone or write to the Workshop, 11A High St, Chipping Norton, Oxon, OX7 5AD. Tel: 01608 641692.

Sell your unwanted books

Sell your unwanted books at competitive prices. If you need extra space or are clearing college rooms, a home or an office, we would be keen to quote for books and CDs. Rare items and collections of 75 or more wanted if in VG condition; academic and non-academic subjects. We can view and collect. Contact Graham Nelson at History Bookshop Ltd on 01993 705026 or 07831 585014 or email gary.oneill@austinchapel.co.uk.

Hope Services

Hope Services is a family-run typesetting company established more than 35 years ago. Based in the heart of Oxfordshire, we specialise in the styling and production of published material. We regularly work on all types of publications including early learning teaching titles; single-author, first-time novels and novellas; academic law books and journals; and social, financial and technical reports. We would be happy to discuss and develop your ideas, and provide you with an individual quote. Please feel free to contact us at Hope Services (Abingdon) Ltd. Tel: 01235 530439. Web: www.hopeservices.co.uk. Email: mark.harvey@hopeservices.co.uk.

Domestic Services

Fantastic Services now in Oxford. Enjoy more free time. Have your home chores and garden care solved by dedicated and fully equipped pros. Go to fantasticservices.com/oxford - simply check the availability and prices for your area and book for whenever it is most convenient! Use promo code ‘OXUNI’ to get £15 off all cleaning, gardening and handyman services. T&Cs apply.

Situations Vacant

The Ewelme Trust charities help people in need with housing and education in Oxfordshire, Buckinghamshire and Berkshire. The trustees are conducting a strategic review with the aim of supporting more people and seek someone with proven research and communication skills to collect and present relevant material. Short term, home-based, flexible, for someone who has recently completed a research qualification looking to build a career in charity or social support sectors. Begins Jul, runs up to 6 months. Up to £10,000 plus expenses – laptop provided. Responses to: mrs@othrys.uk. Information: www.ewelmealmshousecharity.org.

Houses to Let

Wolvercote Green: 3-bed 1930s end-of-terrace house with garden to rent in charming residential suburb, 15 mins’ bike or bus Oxford city centre. Close primary school, fields, playground, canal path, pub, church, bus stop. Summertown/senior schools/Oxford Parkway 5-10 mins away. Available furnished or unfurnished early Aug. Rent £1,400 pcm. Contact williamunderhill@gmail.com.

Accommodation Offered

Visiting academics welcomed as paying guests for short or long stays in comfortable home of retired academic couple. Situated in quiet, leafy close in north Oxford within easy distance of main University buildings, town centre, river, shops and restaurants. Rooms have free TV, Wi-Fi, refrigerator, microwave, kettle, tea, coffee, central heating. Linen, cleaning, breakfast all included in the very moderate terms. Email: DVL23@me.com.

Self-catering Apartments

Visiting Oxford? Studio, 1-, 2- and 3-bed properties conveniently located for various colleges and University departments. Available from 1 week to several months. Apartments are serviced, with linen provided and all bills included. Details, location maps and photos can be found on our website at www.shortletspace.co.uk. Contact us by email on stay@shortletspace.co.uk or call us on 01993 811711.

Shortlet studios for singles or couples needing clean, quiet self-catering accommodation for weeks or months. Handy for High St (town, colleges), Cowley Rd (shops, restaurants), University sportsground (gym, pool), Oxford Brookes campuses, buses...
Choose from over 11,000 holiday villas and apartments in Spain with Clickstay. We make it easy for you to make the perfect choice with our verified renter reviews and a dedicated customer services team. You can choose from modern apartments in Malorca to whitewashed traditional fincas in Tenerife from just £73 pw! Many of our rental properties have private pools, sea views and large gardens with BBQ facilities. See: www.clickstay.com/spain.

Scottish Highlands, Braemar, pretty village in stunning mountain scenery. Combining historic charm with contemporary chic and comfort, this listed cottage dates back to 1750, with large bright Victorian extension. 3 bedrooms, 2 bathrooms, loads of character. Mountain views, log-burning stoves, secluded garden, just 5 mins’ walk to excellent pubs, restaurants and the much-hyped Fife Arms Hotel. Fabulous walking straight from the door. Dog friendly. See: www.braemarlochholidaycottage.co.uk.

Property for Sale
Brittany 'secret cottage'. Unusual 200-year-old stone cottage at end of farmland track near Gourin, Département de Morbihan. La paix profonde dans la France profonde! Occasional sounds of tinkling cowbells. Suit writer/academic etc. £69,000 (drastically reduced - needs work but habitable). Farmer neighbour helpful, not intrusive. Easy ferry from Portsmouth. Could be main home if not needing to be in Oxford that often. Details/pictures: rhyel@hotmail.com.

Mosaics, Oxford - an exciting new development and demonstrator NHS Healthy New Town - within easy reach of the city and Headington via networks of cycle routes and public transport. Stylish and architecturally elegant properties: from 1-bedroom modern apartments to spacious detached family houses. Show apartment and home open daily 10am-5.30pm. Help to Buy available. Contact Savills: 01865 269010. For more information see: http://mosaicosxford.co.uk.

Notifications of Vacancies

Please refer to the website, or contact the email address shown, for further details of the vacancy. For a full list of vacancies in the University and colleges, see www.ox.ac.uk/about_the_university/jobs.

The University is committed to equality and valuing diversity.

University of Oxford

University of Oxford; Head of Mathematical, Physical and Life Sciences Division; 24 June; https://candidates.perrettalver.com/vacancies (reference 4150)

Faculties of English Language and Literature, History, Linguistics, Philology and Phonetics in association with Jesus; Jesus Professorship of Celtic; noon, 15 July; www.recruit.ox.ac.uk/pls/hrsilverrecruit/erq_jobspec_version_4.jobspec?p_id=139147

Colleges, Halls and Societies

Mansfield; fixed-term part-time Lectureship in Politics; £6,756–£7,599; noon, 13 June; www.mansfield.ox.ac.uk/vacancies

St Anne’s; Third Chef; £23,474–£25,599; noon, 5 June; www.st-anneox.ac.uk/about/job-opportunities

Wolfson; College Secretary; £26,993–£31,651; 6 June; www.wolfson.ox.ac.uk

External Vacancies

University of Cambridge; Professorship of Law (1973); 24 June; www.hr.admin.cam.ac.uk/professorships
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Holiday Lets

Cornwall cottage and restored chapel in quiet hamlet on South West Coast Path within 100m of the sea and minutes from Caerhays and Heligan. Each property sleeps 6. Comfortably furnished, c/h, wood burner and broadband. Ideal for reading, writing, painting, walking, bathing, bird watching. Beautiful beach perfect for bucket and spade family holidays. Short winter breaks available from £70 a night. Luxury apartments and houses within a short walk to the centre of Oxford suitable for singles, couples, families, professionals. Bills, taxes, internet, linen, housekeeping, self-check-in, cooking facilities, TV, heating, private parking (at some locations), private bathroom included. Stays from 2 days upwards with discounts for long-term bookings. Over 40 years of property letting experience. Enquiries: info@sleapandstayoxford.com.

Affordable serviced accommodation from £70 a night. Luxury apartments and houses within a short walk to the centre of Oxford suitable for singles, couples, families, professionals. Bills, taxes, internet, linen, housekeeping, self-check-in, cooking facilities, TV, heating, private parking (at some locations), private bathroom included. Stays from 2 days upwards with discounts for long-term bookings. Over 40 years of property letting experience. Enquiries: info@sleapandstayoxford.com.

L D Dooher, MA
R S Cuomo, AB Bryn Mawr, MA Massachusetts
Printed at Oxuniprint, Langford Locks, Kidlington, Oxon OX5 1FP.

University of Oxford Gazette • 30 May 2019