

## Changes to Examination Regulations 2018

1718-07-TUG

Medical Sciences Divisional Board

Approved: Jane Dale – Senior Assistant Registrar (Education) – 25<sup>th</sup> June 2018

Honour School of Neuroscience

**Brief note about nature of change:** A small number of minor typographical changes, and a change to allow candidates to submit research projects online.

### Location of change

<http://www.admin.ox.ac.uk/examregs/2017-18/hschoofneur/administratorview/>

### Effective date

**For students starting FHS from MT 2017 (Part II TT 2018).**

And

**For first examination from 2018-19**

### Detail of change

1. Amend citation reference 3.1 as follows:

'1. Each candidate must offer timed written examination papers and a Pproject Report based on a Research Pproject.'

2. Amend citation reference 3.2 as follows:

Delete the extraneous space between '2.' and 'Each'. Delete the extraneous carriage return at the end of the paragraph.

3. Amend citation reference 3.13 as follows:

'Project Reports must be submitted via WebLearn (according to the instructions set out in the letter to candidates from the Chair of Examiners, not later than noon on the Friday of Week 8 of the Hilary Term in the academic year in which the candidate intends to take the

examination. Each Report shall be accompanied by a certificate of authorship indicating that the research project is the candidate's own work (three copies) must be sent to the Chair of Examiners, Honour School of Cell and Systems Biology, Examination Schools, High Street, Oxford, not later than noon on the Friday of Week 8 of Hilary Term in the academic year in which the candidate intends to take the examination. The copies shall be accompanied (in a separate sealed envelope) by a certificate signed by each candidate indicating that the research project is the candidate's own work. In the case of work that has been produced in collaboration, the certificate shall indicate the extent of the candidate's own contribution.'

4. Amend citation reference 3.14 as follows:

'In exceptional cases, where through unforeseen circumstances a research project produces no useable results (i.e. not even negative or ambiguous results), the candidate may apply through his or her college to the Course Director, or a deputy, for permission to submit a concise review of the scientific context and the aims of the work that was attempted, in place of the normal Project Report. Such an application must be accompanied by supporting evidence from the supervisor of the project. The concise review to be submitted in such circumstances should be comparable in length to the Report of a successful research project and will be presented orally to the examiners and be examined ~~viva voce~~ viva voce in the usual way for a research project. The examiners will be advised that substantive results could not be produced.'

5. Amend citation reference 3.19 as follows:

5. The weighting of marks for the five component~~exercises~~ required of each candidate shall be 25 per cent for the Research Project Report ~~based on the research project~~ and 55 per cent in total for the four written papers. Marks carried forward from the Part I examination will account for the remaining 20 per cent of the candidate's overall result for the Honour School of Neuroscience.

### **Explanatory Notes**

There are a small number of minor typographical changes. There is a change to allow candidates to submit research projects online.